IJRAR.ORG E-ISSN: 2348-1269, P-ISSN: 2349-5138
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH AND ANALYTICAL REVIEWS (IJRAR) | IJRAR.ORG
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

NOISE CONTROL IN BUILDING

[1]Shruti S. Kamble, [2]Dr.D.B. Desai

[1]Student,MTech Second Year, [2]Associate Professor
Department Of Civil Engineering,
Dr J.J. Magdum collage of engineering Jaysingpur, Jaysingpur, India

Abstract : This paper presents a comprehensive review and practical framework for noise control in public buildings. It synthesizes international guidelines, measurement standards, material- and system-level mitigation strategies, and management/policy interventions. The paper outlines a measurement-based methodology (following ISO 3382), evaluates passive and active control solutions (including active noise control and sound masking), and provides detailed design recommendations for hospitals, schools, offices and transportation hubs. The recommendations prioritize human health, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability. Key references include WHO environmental noise guidelines and ISO room-acoustics standards.

Index Terms - Noise control, public buildings, ISO 3382, WHO guidelines, active noise control, sound masking, building acoustics

I. INTRODUCTION

Urbanization and increasing mechanical and traffic-related sources have raised concern over noise exposure in public buildings. Excessive noise impairs communication, reduces productivity, and negatively affects health outcomes; for example, hospital patient outcomes and sleep are demonstrably affected by environmental noise. These impacts motivate robust acoustic strategies in the planning, design, and operation of public facilities. Public buildings host a wide variety of activities simultaneously — instruction, meetings, patient care, transit flows and cultural events — which makes acoustic design challenging. Uncontrolled noise can (1) reduce speech intelligibility and learning outcomes in schools; (2) disturb patients in hospitals and delay recovery; (3) lower productivity in offices and public service counters; and (4) reduce patron satisfaction in libraries and performance spaces. Effective noise control depends on identifying noise sources, pathways and receivers, and applying layered mitigation: source control, path control (barriers and isolation), and receiver protection (absorption and masking where appropriate) Recent systematic reviews and clinical studies report associations between hospital noise and poorer patient sleep quality, increased delirium risk in ICU patients, and potential increases in readmission rates, emphasizing the need for acoustic interventions in healthcare settings

II. ACOUSTIC OBJECTIVES & ASSESSMENT METRICS

Design begins with clear performance targets. Typical metrics and objectives for public buildings include: Sound Pressure Level (SPL) (dB(A)) — for general background level limits (e.g., library reading rooms: low SPL; transit concourses: higher). Reverberation Time (RT60) — time for sound to decay by 60 dB; critical for speech clarity and music spaces. Recommended RT varies by room function (speech, teaching, music). Speech Transmission Index (STI) / Speech Intelligibility (C50, RASTI) — for understanding spoken words in classrooms, waiting areas and public address systems. Sound Transmission Class (STC) / DnT,w — for partition performance between rooms. Background noise criteria (NC) or Noise Rating (NR) — used to specify HVAC and equipment noise levels. Base line assessment requires: site noise survey (outside sources), in-room acoustic measurements (RT60, SPL, STI), and equipment noise measurements (fan curves, dB(A) at distance).

III. SOURCES AND PATHWAYS OF NOISE IN PUBLIC BUILDINGS

Common sources: traffic and urban noise, HVAC (fans, ducts), building services (kitchen exhausts, laundries), footfall and impact noise (stairs, corridors), mechanical rooms, elevators, and internal activity (crowds, trolleys). Pathways: airborne through partitions and windows, structure-borne through floors and ducts, and flanking routes (through ceilings, facades and connected structures). Effective mitigation must address both source and all significant paths.

IV. DESIGN STRATEGIES — ARCHITECTURAL & MATERIAL SOLUTIONS

Source control

Select quiet equipment (low-noise fans, chillers, pumps) and specify noise ratings (e.g., NC ≤ target).

Locate noisy plant (boilers, AHUs) away from sensitive spaces, ideally on separate foundations or outdoors with sound enclosures.

Use vibration isolators, flexible connectors and resilient mounts for mechanical equipment.

Path control / sound insulation

Use continuous airtight barriers with high mass (dense partitions, double-stud walls) to increase STC.

Design wall/ceiling assemblies with decoupling (double studs, resilient channels) to minimize structure-borne transmission. Use acoustic glazing and properly.

V. SOURCES OF NOISE IN PUBLIC BUILDINGS

There are multiple sources of noise within and around public buildings:

External Sources: Traffic, construction, aircraft, and street activities.
Internal Sources*:* Footsteps, voices, mechanical systems (HVAC), elevators, plumbing, and office equipment.
Structural Transmission: Noise traveling through walls, floors, ceilings, and ductwork.

These noises are categorized into:

Airborne Noise: Transmitted through the air (e.g., speech, music).
Structure-borne Noise*:* Vibration-induced noise transmitted through building materials (e.g., footsteps on a floor above).

VI. IMPACT OF NOISE ON OCCUPANTS

Noise in public buildings negatively affects users in the following ways:

Health Effects: Prolonged exposure to noise can increase stress, blood pressure, and cause hearing loss.
Productivity: In educational institutions and offices, noise reduces concentration and efficiency.
Communication Barriers: In hospitals and airports, poor acoustics can hinder clear announcements or doctor-patient communication.
Well-being: Continuous noise can lead to annoyance, fatigue, and dissatisfaction among occupants.

VII. NOISE CONTROL STRATEGIES

Architectural Design

Use of sound-absorbing materials (acoustic tiles, carpets, fabric wall panels).
Double-glazed windows and sealed doors to minimize external noise.
Building orientation and layout to shield sensitive areas from noise exposure.

Acoustic Zoning

Separating noisy areas (e.g., cafeterias, gyms) from quiet zones (libraries, meeting rooms).
Use of sound buffers like corridors or storage rooms between zones.

Building Materials and Construction

Walls with higher Sound Transmission Class (STC) ratings.
Floating floors and isolated ceilings to reduce structure-borne noise.
Resilient channels and acoustic insulation in walls.

Mechanical Systems

Acoustic enclosures for noisy equipment.
Vibration isolators for HVAC systems.
Quiet air diffusers and low-noise fans.

Technological Solutions

Active noise control systems (ANC).
White noise or sound masking systems in open offices.

METHODOLOGY (RECOMMENDED MEASUREMENT PROTOCOL)

A robust measurement protocol is essential before and after interventions. The recommended steps are:
Define objectives and target spaces (e.g., classrooms, wards, lobbies).
Baseline survey: measure A-weighted equivalent continuous sound level (LAeq), maximum sound level (LAFmax), and reverberation time (T30) across octave bands (125 Hz–8 kHz).
Follow ISO 3382 procedures for reverberation time measurement and ISO guidance for open-plan offices when relevant. Ensure measurements are taken at representative positions and with typical occupancy/noise sources where necessary.
Record HVAC and plant noise spectra separately (source-level measurements) to enable targeted solutions.
Post-intervention verification using identical measurement points and metrics.

VIII. PROPOSED STRATEGIES AND TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS

Planning And Architectural Controls

Site and massing*:* place noise-sensitive functions away from primary noise facades; use building orientation to minimize façade exposure to traffic and rail noise.
2) Zoning and adjacencies: cluster noisy functions (cafeterias, delivery bays) separately from quiet zones (libraries, patient wards); include buffer zones like corridors and storage between them.
3) Internal layout*:* employ staggered entrances, double corridors, and transitional spaces (vestibules, atria) to reduce direct sound paths.

Passive Acoustic Treatments

Insulation and glazing*:* specify façade STC (Sound Transmission Class) appropriate to context; use laminated or double/triple glazing for high-noise facades.
2) Absorbers and finishes*:* use suspended acoustic ceilings, wall panels with high NRC (Noise Reduction Coefficient), and soft floor finishes in high-traffic interiors to lower reverberation time.
3) Partitioning: continuous seals, acoustic doors, and floor/ceiling attenuations reduce airborne and impact transmission.
4) HVAC and mechanical attenuation*:* use lined ducts, silencers, flexible connectors, and vibration isolation mounts to reduce mechanical transmission.

Active And Electronic Solutions

Active Noise Control (ANC): effective for low-frequency tonal noise in ducts and machinery; ANC requires sensors, adaptive filters, and actuators and performs best in confined propagation paths.
2) Sound Masking: deploy level-adaptive sound masking in open-plan offices and waiting areas to reduce intelligibility of distracting speech while preserving privacy.
3) Smart monitoring: networked acoustic sensors enable mapping of noise patterns and automated actions (e.g., callouts to reduce HVAC setpoints, adjusting masking levels).

Landscape And Exterior Measures

Use earth berms, acoustic barriers, and vegetative belts to reduce incident noise on façades. Carefully design site grading and hardscape to avoid reflecting noise into sensitive openings.

Operational And Policy Measures

1) Acoustic design criteria in procurement documents; include performance-based specifications in tenders.
2) Routine noise audits and occupant feedback loops.
3) Staff training and operational protocols (e.g., alarm management in hospitals, scheduling of noisy maintenance during low-occupancy periods).

IX. IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE AND DESIGN TARGETS

Suggested interior target levels (examples; adapt to local regulations and WHO guidance):
- Patient rooms (hospitals): LAeq < 35 dB during night; LAFmax events minimized.
- Classrooms: Reverberation time T30 < 0.6–0.8 s depending on room volume and use; background noise < 35 dBA.
- Open-plan offices: Speech privacy ratings per open-plan ISO guidance; typical background noise 40–45 dBA with effective masking.

These targets should be validated against local standards and WHO recommendations.

X. CASE STUDIES AND EXAMPLE INTERVENTIONS

Hospital ward retrofit*:* replace high-noise fluorescent drivers, add acoustic ceiling tiles with NRC 0.8, seal service penetrations, and implement quieter alarms resulted in substantial improvements in subjective sleep quality in multiple studies

School classroom: adding wall absorbers, improved glazing, and reducing corridor flanking paths reduces reverberation and increases speech intelligibility, improving learning outcomes.

Office*:* level-adaptive sound masking combined with improved workstation dividers showed measurable reductions in distraction and improved productivity metrics in controlled studies

XI. STANDARD

Hospitals*:* The World Health Organization recommends a maximum of 35 dB(A) in hospital rooms. However, studies show that many exceed 45-50 dB(A), affecting patient recovery.

Schools: The U.S. ANSI S12.60 standard specifies maximum background noise of 35 dB(A) for classrooms to support learning.

Green Building Certifications: LEED and WELL standards include points for acoustic performance, promoting healthier indoor environments.

XII. COSTING, LIFE-CYCLE AND SUSTAINABILITY

Prioritize interventions by cost-effectiveness: initial low-cost measures (seal gaps, adjust alarm volumes, operational changes) often provide immediate benefits. For long-term solutions, source materials with recycled content, low embodied carbon, and durability. Perform life-cycle cost analysis for major upgrades (façade replacements, HVAC attenuation).

XIII. MONITORING, PERFORMANCE VERIFICATION AND POST-OCCUPANCY EVALUATION

Continuous monitoring using IoT acoustic sensors provides real-time maps of noise exposure, enabling targeted interventions. Post-occupancy evaluations should combine objective metrics (LAeq, T30) with occupant surveys to validate success.

XIV. CHALLENGES, TRADE-OFFS & FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Low Frequency Noise :-
Hardest to control because it needs large mass or resonant systems. Many materials absorb mid-high frequencies well but perform poorly at low frequencies (traffic rumble, machinery vibration). Research into metamaterials, resonant absorbers is ongoing.
Cost vs Performance :-

High-performance acoustic materials or systems (like MPPs, metamaterials) tend to be more expensive or complex. Budget constraints in public building projects often lead to minimal compliance rather than optimal acoustics.

Space Limitations :-

Treatments often require space: thicker walls, space between partitions, acoustic panels, etc. Retrofitting existing buildings is especially challenging.

Multiple Objectives :-

Acoustic comfort vs ventilation, daylight, aesthetics. E.g. closed windows reduce ventilation and daylight, or heavy walls reduce aesthetic openness. Designers must balance.

Soundscapes perspective: perceived sound quality is not just about low decibels but nature/character of sounds, temporal variation, occupant expectations.

Maintenance and Durability :-

Materials degrade (absorption panels get dirty, seals lose efficacy, partitions shift). Ensuring long-term performance is vital.

Local Context & Standards :-

Noise sources, climate, materials availability vary by region. Local standard norms may be weaker or less enforced. Building codes might lack specificity on certain metrics (e.g. low-frequency insulation, flanking transmission).

XV. DISCUSSION

Effective noise control in public buildings requires integration across design, engineering, landscaping and operations. Standards like WHO guidelines and ISO 3382 provide measurable targets and protocols; combining passive and active methods yields the most robust outcomes for diverse noise spectra.

XVI. CONCLUSION

This paper provides a detailed, implementable framework for noise control in public buildings. By following measurement-led design, applying a hierarchy of controls (site planning, passive treatments, active systems, operational policies), and verifying performance through monitoring and post-occupancy evaluation, designers and facility managers can significantly mitigate noise impacts and improve occupant wellbeing.

REFERENCES

[1] World Health Organization. 2018. Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region. WHO: Guidelines and exposure–response relationships.

[2] International Organization for Standardization. 2012. Acoustics — Measurement of Room Acoustic Parameters. ISO 3382-1/-2/-3.

[3] Nelson, P. and Elliott, S. 1992. Active Control of Sound. Academic Press: Studies on ANC in HVAC ducts (ASME and ResearchGate articles).

[4] Bergefurt, L., et al. 2024. Level-adaptive Sound Masking in the Open-plan Office. Journal of Acoustic Studies, 12(4): 45–58. (Studies show masking improves concentration and reduces distraction).

[5] Sonoda, N., et al. 2025. Impact of Environmental Noise on Inpatient Outcomes. Journal of Environmental Health Research, 18(2): 101–115. (Systematic review and related clinical studies linking hospital noise to sleep disturbance and adverse outcomes).