



Anti-Colonial Resistance in South Odisha: Tribal Movements, Dalit Mobilization and the Politics of Colonial Transformation

Samir Kumar Rout

Lecturer in History

J.K.B.K Govt. College, Cuttack

Abstract

The history of anti-colonial resistance in South Odisha reflects the complex interaction between colonial administrative policies, regional socio-economic structures and the political awakening of marginalized communities. While conventional narratives of the Indian national movement largely emphasize the role of elite nationalist leadership and urban political organizations, the experience of South Odisha demonstrates that resistance to colonial rule also emerged from tribal societies, rural peasants and marginalized caste groups confronting administrative control and economic exploitation. This article examines the character of anti-colonial mobilization in the southern districts of Odisha—particularly Koraput and Ganjam—with special attention to tribal resistance, missionary intervention, and the emergence of Dalit political consciousness during the late colonial period.

By situating regional uprisings within broader nationalist developments, the study highlights the role of local leaders such as **Chakra Bisoi and Laxman Nayak** in mobilizing rural populations against colonial authority. It further argues that missionary education, colonial administrative reforms and the spread of modern political ideas contributed to the emergence of new forms of social and political consciousness among marginalized communities. These developments ultimately laid the foundations for post-colonial democratic participation and Dalit mobilization in South Odisha.¹

Key words: Anti-colonial resistance; South Odisha; Koraput region; tribal movements; Kondh resistance; Dalit mobilization; missionary education; colonial forest policy;; Laxman Nayak; Matili uprising, social transformation; marginalized communities.

Introduction

The history of the Indian national movement has traditionally been narrated through the activities of urban elites, constitutional negotiations and the leadership of organizations such as the Indian National Congress. However, recent historical scholarship has increasingly emphasized the role of regional movements and subaltern participation in shaping the struggle against colonial rule. In many parts of India, resistance to colonial domination emerged not only from nationalist political organizations but also from tribal communities, peasants and marginalized caste groups who confronted colonial policies that disrupted their traditional socio-economic structures.²

South Odisha provides a significant example of such regional resistance. The region, particularly the districts of **Koraput and Ganjam**, was characterized by difficult geographical terrain, large tribal populations and relatively limited colonial administrative penetration during the early nineteenth century. Prior to the consolidation of British rule, many of these areas were governed through local chieftaincies and customary tribal institutions that regulated land ownership, forest use and social relations.³ The expansion of British colonial administration in the region gradually transformed these traditional structures. The introduction of **land revenue settlements, forest regulations and administrative reforms** significantly altered the relationship between tribal communities and their natural resources. Colonial policies frequently restricted shifting cultivation, imposed taxes and attempted to regulate local social practices. These measures disrupted existing systems of livelihood and generated widespread resentment among tribal populations.⁴

At the same time, colonial rule also introduced new institutions and social processes that contributed to the emergence of political awareness among marginalized communities. Missionary education, social reform movements and the gradual expansion of modern political institutions created new opportunities for historically oppressed groups—particularly Dalits—to articulate demands for social equality and political representation.⁵

In this context, the anti-colonial movements in South Odisha must be understood not merely as isolated tribal uprisings but as part of a broader process of social and political transformation. Tribal resistance, nationalist mobilization and the gradual emergence of Dalit political consciousness intersected in complex ways, shaping the regional dynamics of the freedom struggle. By examining these interactions, the present study seeks to provide a more inclusive understanding of anti-colonial resistance in South Odisha.

Research Methodology

This study employs a historical and analytical research methodology to investigate the nature of anti-colonial resistance in South Odisha, particularly focusing on tribal movements, Dalit mobilization, and the socio-political transformations that occurred under colonial rule. The research is fundamentally based on qualitative analysis of both primary and secondary sources, which enables a comprehensive understanding of regional resistance within the broader context of the Indian national movement. The study relies on a diverse range of primary sources, including archival records, government reports, and district gazetteers. Official documents such as the files from the Odisha State Archives, especially those related to disturbances in Koraput during the Quit India Movement, provide valuable insights into colonial administrative responses and patterns of resistance. In addition to primary sources, the research extensively utilizes secondary sources, including scholarly works on tribal movements, caste dynamics, and Indian nationalism.

The methodological approach combines descriptive, analytical, and interpretative techniques. The descriptive method is used to recount the historical context and nuances of the subject matter.

Historiography

The study of anti-colonial movements in Odisha has attracted increasing scholarly attention, particularly in relation to peasant and tribal resistance. Early nationalist histories often emphasized the role of elite leadership and political organizations while giving limited attention to regional movements led by marginalized communities. However, later historians have highlighted the importance of local uprisings in shaping the broader trajectory of the Indian national movement.⁶ Scholars such as **Bipan Chandra** have situated regional movements within the larger framework of Indian nationalism, arguing that the freedom struggle was fundamentally a mass movement involving diverse social groups. His analysis demonstrates how political mobilization gradually extended beyond urban elites to include peasants, workers and marginalized communities across different regions of India.⁷

Regional historians have further emphasized the importance of tribal and peasant resistance in Odisha. The work of **Kailash Chandra Dash** provides detailed insights into the nature of peasant and tribal movements in the region, highlighting the socio-economic grievances that fueled resistance against colonial rule. Dash argues that tribal uprisings in Odisha were not merely spontaneous rebellions but organized responses to colonial exploitation, particularly in relation to land revenue policies and forest regulations.⁸ Similarly, **S. N. Chaudhury's** study of British policy in Odisha examines how colonial administrative reforms transformed the political and economic structure of the region. His work demonstrates that colonial governance often disrupted traditional systems of authority and resource management, thereby generating conflicts between colonial officials and local communities.⁹ In addition to historical studies, sociological analyses of caste and social hierarchy have also contributed to understanding the transformation of marginalized communities during the colonial period. Scholars such as **M. N. Srinivas** and **André Béteille** have explored the changing dynamics of caste relations and social mobility in modern India, providing important theoretical frameworks for examining the emergence of Dalit political consciousness.¹⁰

Despite these important contributions, the intersection between **tribal resistance and Dalit mobilization in South Odisha** remains relatively underexplored. Much of the existing scholarship has treated these phenomena separately, focusing either on tribal uprisings or on the broader history of Dalit movements in India. This article seeks to bridge this gap by examining how anti-colonial resistance in South Odisha contributed to the emergence of new forms of political consciousness among marginalized communities

Colonial Policies and Tribal Resistance in South Odisha

The expansion of British colonial rule into the tribal regions of South Odisha during the nineteenth century introduced new administrative and economic structures that fundamentally altered the traditional socio-economic organization of the region. Colonial authorities attempted to integrate these relatively autonomous tribal territories into the imperial administrative framework through land revenue settlements, forest regulations and policing systems. These measures were designed to consolidate colonial authority and generate revenue, but they also disrupted the customary rights and practices of tribal communities.¹¹ For many tribal groups inhabiting the Eastern Ghats—including the **Kondhs, Parajas, Bhumias and Gadabas**—land and forests were central to their economic livelihood and cultural identity. Traditional systems of shifting cultivation, hunting and gathering allowed these communities to maintain a relatively self-sufficient subsistence economy. The introduction of colonial land settlements and forest laws restricted access to these resources and imposed new forms of taxation, which significantly affected tribal economic life.¹²

One of the most significant aspects of colonial intervention was the regulation of forest resources. Beginning in the late nineteenth century, the colonial government introduced forest legislation that aimed to bring large tracts of forest land under state control. These policies restricted shifting cultivation and limited tribal access to forest produce such as timber, fuel and minor forest products. Since these resources formed the basis of tribal subsistence, the restrictions imposed by colonial forest policies generated widespread resentment among tribal populations.¹³ The imposition of revenue demands further intensified tensions between colonial authorities and tribal communities. Many tribal regions had previously functioned under customary systems of tribute and local governance rather than formal land taxation. When colonial administrators attempted to introduce regular revenue collection, many communities

found it difficult to comply with the new financial obligations. The resulting economic pressures often led to indebtedness, land alienation and social dislocation.¹⁴

In addition to economic policies, colonial officials also attempted to regulate social and cultural practices within tribal societies. British administrators and missionaries frequently regarded certain tribal customs as “primitive” and sought to reform them through administrative intervention. These efforts were often perceived by tribal communities as intrusive attempts to undermine their cultural autonomy. Consequently, resistance to colonial rule in South Odisha frequently combined economic grievances with the defense of cultural traditions.¹⁵ The cumulative impact of these policies generated a climate of tension and resistance across several parts of South Odisha. Tribal uprisings occurred periodically throughout the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries as communities attempted to defend their land, forests and social institutions from colonial interference. These movements demonstrated that anti-colonial resistance in the region was deeply rooted in local socio-economic conditions rather than merely ideological opposition to British rule.¹⁶

Kondh Resistance and the Leadership of Chakra Bisoi

Among the various forms of tribal resistance in South Odisha, the **Kondh uprisings in the Ghumsur region** represent one of the most significant episodes of confrontation between tribal communities and colonial authorities. The Kondhs, who inhabited the forested hill regions of present-day southern Odisha, maintained strong traditions of local autonomy and communal land use. Their social organization was based on clan structures and customary practices that regulated land ownership, forest use and community relations.¹⁷ The expansion of British administration into these areas during the nineteenth century brought the Kondh communities into direct conflict with colonial officials. British authorities sought to extend administrative control over tribal territories and to regulate local customs that they considered incompatible with colonial law. One of the most controversial issues was the colonial campaign against certain ritual practices within Kondh society, which officials used as a justification for deeper administrative intervention in tribal areas.¹⁸

Within this context, **Chakra Bisoi** emerged as a prominent leader of tribal resistance. He mobilized the Kondh population of the Ghumsur region and organized opposition to colonial authority. Chakra Bisoi's leadership reflected the determination of tribal communities to defend their autonomy and preserve their cultural traditions against external interference. His activities attracted widespread support among the Kondh population and posed a serious challenge to British efforts to consolidate control over the region.¹⁹ The resistance movements led by Chakra Bisoi demonstrated that tribal uprisings were not isolated disturbances but part of a broader pattern of opposition to colonial rule. Tribal leaders often acted as intermediaries between local communities and colonial authorities, articulating grievances related to land rights, taxation and administrative control. Through their leadership, localized discontent was transformed into organized resistance movements that disrupted colonial governance in several areas of South Odisha.²⁰ The significance of these movements extends beyond their immediate political impact. Tribal resistance in regions such as Ghumsur contributed to the broader anti-colonial struggle by weakening colonial authority in remote territories and highlighting the limitations of imperial governance. Moreover, these movements reinforced a tradition of collective resistance that later influenced nationalist mobilization in the region during the twentieth century.²¹

Missionary Activities and Social Change in South Odisha

Another significant factor that influenced socio-political developments in South Odisha during the colonial period was the activity of Christian missionaries. From the nineteenth century onward, missionary organizations such as the **Baptist Missionary Society and the Roman Catholic missions** established schools, churches and welfare institutions in several tribal areas of Ganjam and Koraput. These institutions introduced new forms of education, healthcare and social reform in regions where colonial administrative presence had previously been limited.²² Missionary education played an especially important role in promoting literacy among marginalized communities. Many tribal and Dalit communities had historically been excluded from formal systems of education because of caste-based social restrictions and geographical isolation. Missionary schools therefore provided new opportunities for individuals from these communities to acquire literacy and basic administrative skills. Over time, this educational access contributed to the emergence of a small but influential group of educated individuals from marginalized backgrounds.²³ Education also introduced new social ideas concerning equality, social reform and individual rights. These ideas often challenged traditional caste hierarchies and encouraged marginalized communities to question existing forms of social discrimination. In several parts of South Odisha, missionary institutions became centers of social transformation where new identities and aspirations began to develop among tribal and Dalit populations.²⁴

However, missionary activities also produced complex social dynamics. While some communities welcomed educational and welfare initiatives, others perceived missionary intervention as a threat to traditional cultural practices. This tension sometimes generated conflicts within local society, particularly where religious conversion intersected with questions of caste and social hierarchy. Despite these tensions, missionary education undeniably contributed to the spread of literacy and political awareness among marginalized groups in the region.²⁵ The long-term impact of missionary activity extended beyond education and religious conversion. By creating opportunities for social mobility and access to modern knowledge, missionary institutions helped produce a generation of individuals who later participated in social reform movements and nationalist politics. In this sense, missionary intervention indirectly contributed to the development of political consciousness that would later shape both tribal resistance and Dalit mobilization in South Odisha.²⁶

The Quit India Movement in South Odisha

The anti-colonial struggle in South Odisha gained new momentum during the **Quit India Movement of 1942**, which marked one of the most intense phases of resistance against British rule in India. Following Mahatma Gandhi's call for immediate independence, mass protests and acts of civil disobedience erupted across many parts of the country. The movement quickly spread to Odisha, where students, peasants, tribal communities and local political activists participated in demonstrations against colonial authority.²⁷ In the southern districts of Odisha, particularly **Koraput**, the movement assumed a distinct character shaped by local socio-economic conditions. Tribal communities and rural populations who had long experienced economic exploitation and administrative repression viewed the nationalist movement as an opportunity to challenge colonial rule. Demonstrations were organized in several villages, and protesters targeted symbols of colonial authority such as police stations, government offices and communication networks.²⁸

The colonial government responded with severe repression. British authorities deployed police forces to suppress demonstrations and arrested numerous activists involved in the movement. Despite these measures, the participation of rural populations demonstrated that the nationalist struggle had penetrated deeply into remote regions of Odisha. The events of 1942 therefore represented a significant moment in the political awakening of marginalized communities in the region.²⁹

The Matili Uprising and the Leadership of Laxman Nayak

One of the most significant episodes of the Quit India Movement in South Odisha occurred in the **Matili area of Koraput district**. Here, local activists organized demonstrations that directly challenged colonial authority. Tribal communities and rural villagers gathered in large numbers to protest against administrative repression and to express support for the nationalist movement.³⁰ At the center of this mobilization was **Laxman Nayak**, a tribal leader who played a crucial role in organizing resistance against colonial rule. Nayak mobilized villagers and encouraged them to participate in demonstrations against British administration. His leadership helped transform local grievances related to land rights and economic exploitation into broader political resistance aligned with the nationalist movement.³¹

During one such demonstration in Matili, protesters marched toward the local police station as a symbolic challenge to colonial authority. The colonial administration responded with force, leading to violent confrontation between police and demonstrators. Several individuals were arrested in the aftermath of the incident, and Laxman Nayak was later charged by the colonial government with involvement in the disturbances.³² Following a controversial trial, Laxman Nayak was sentenced to death and executed by the British authorities in **1943**. His execution transformed him into one of the most revered martyrs of the freedom struggle in Odisha. The Matili uprising demonstrated that anti-colonial resistance in South Odisha was deeply rooted in local socio-economic grievances while also being connected to the broader nationalist movement sweeping across India.³³

The legacy of Laxman Nayak continues to occupy an important place in the historical memory of Odisha. His leadership symbolizes the participation of tribal communities in the struggle for independence and highlights the role of marginalized groups in challenging colonial domination. The events of the Quit India Movement in Koraput therefore represent a crucial moment in the political history of South Odisha and contributed significantly to the development of regional nationalist consciousness.³⁴

Dalit Mobilization in South Odisha

Alongside tribal resistance and nationalist mobilization, the colonial period also witnessed the gradual emergence of **Dalit political consciousness in South Odisha**. Dalit communities such as the **Pana, Dom, Ghasi, Bauri and Hadi** had historically occupied the lowest position in the caste hierarchy and were subjected to multiple forms of social exclusion. They were often denied access to land ownership, educational institutions, temples and public resources such as wells and village common lands. This social marginalization was closely linked with economic exploitation, as many Dalits were forced to work as agricultural laborers or perform hereditary occupations under oppressive social conditions.³⁵ The colonial period introduced a number of socio-economic changes that gradually altered these traditional structures. Although British rule did not fundamentally dismantle caste hierarchies, the introduction of modern administrative institutions, legal systems and census classifications created new frameworks through which marginalized communities could articulate their grievances. The colonial state's recognition of "Depressed Classes" as a distinct administrative category contributed to the emergence of new forms of social and political identity among Dalits.³⁶

One of the most important factors influencing Dalit mobilization in South Odisha was the **spread of missionary education**. Christian missionaries established educational institutions in several tribal and rural regions of Ganjam and Koraput during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. These institutions provided access to literacy and modern education for communities that had previously been excluded from traditional caste-based learning systems. Education enabled members of Dalit communities to gain new skills, challenge social discrimination and participate more actively in emerging political processes.³⁷ The influence of **Dr. B. R. Ambedkar's ideas** also played a significant role in shaping Dalit political consciousness across India. Ambedkar emphasized the importance of education, political representation and legal safeguards for the protection of the rights of the Depressed Classes. His critique of caste hierarchy and advocacy for social equality inspired marginalized communities to organize collectively and demand their rights. Although the Ambedkarite movement was strongest in western India, its ideological influence gradually reached different regions of the country, including Odisha.³⁸

In South Odisha, Dalit mobilization often developed through local social reform initiatives and community organizations. Educated members of Dalit communities began forming associations aimed at promoting education, social equality and economic improvement. These organizations served as platforms for collective action and helped articulate demands for greater participation in public life. Such initiatives marked an important step in the transformation of Dalit communities from socially marginalized groups into politically conscious actors.³⁹ Another significant factor that contributed to Dalit mobilization was the expansion of **electoral politics under colonial constitutional reforms**, particularly the Government of India Act of 1935. The introduction of provincial legislatures and limited electoral representation created new political opportunities for marginalized communities. Although representation remained restricted, the idea of political rights encouraged Dalit leaders to engage with emerging democratic institutions and advocate for the interests of their communities.⁴⁰

Dalit mobilization in South Odisha also intersected with broader nationalist movements. During the **Civil Disobedience Movement and the Quit India Movement**, members of marginalized communities participated in demonstrations, protests and other forms of political activism. While leadership positions within the nationalist movement were often dominated by upper-caste elites, the participation of Dalits in these struggles contributed to the widening social base of the anti-colonial movement.⁴¹ The interaction between tribal resistance, missionary education and nationalist mobilization created a dynamic environment in which marginalized communities increasingly asserted their social and political rights. By the final decades of colonial rule, Dalit communities in South Odisha had begun to develop a new sense of collective identity and political awareness. These developments laid the foundation for post-independence movements demanding social justice, land reforms and political representation.⁴²

Women's Participation in Anti-Colonial Movements

Women also played an important role in anti-colonial movements in South Odisha, although their contributions have often been overlooked in historical narratives. Women from tribal and marginalized communities participated in protests, community mobilization and nationalist campaigns. Their participation reflected broader transformations in gender roles that occurred during the period of political struggle. In many villages, women supported the nationalist movement by organizing meetings, spreading political messages and assisting activists involved in resistance activities. They also contributed to social reform initiatives aimed at improving education and health conditions in rural communities. The involvement of women from tribal and Dalit backgrounds demonstrated the inclusive character of anti-colonial mobilization in the region.⁴³

Conclusion

The history of anti-colonial resistance in South Odisha reveals a complex pattern of social and political transformation shaped by colonial policies, regional socio-economic conditions and the emergence of new forms of political consciousness among marginalized communities. Tribal uprisings, particularly those associated with leaders such as **Chakra Bisoi and Laxman Nayak**, represented determined efforts by indigenous communities to defend their autonomy and cultural traditions against colonial interference. At the same time, the colonial period witnessed the gradual emergence of **Dalit political consciousness** through the influence of missionary education, social reform movements and the spread of modern political ideas. These processes enabled marginalized communities to challenge traditional caste hierarchies and assert their rights within emerging political institutions. The participation of tribal and Dalit communities in movements such as the **Quit India Movement** demonstrated that the struggle against colonial rule in Odisha extended far beyond urban elites. Instead, it involved widespread mobilization at the grassroots level, where local grievances were linked with broader nationalist aspirations.

In conclusion, the anti-colonial movements of South Odisha contributed significantly to the transformation of social and political relations in the region. By highlighting the role of marginalized communities in these struggles, this study provides a more inclusive understanding of the Indian freedom movement and underscores the importance of subaltern participation in shaping modern Indian politics.⁴⁴

Notes and References:

1. K. C. Jena, *Socio-Political Movements in Orissa* (New Delhi: Manohar Publishers, 1994), 41–45.
2. Bipan Chandra, Mridula Mukherjee, Aditya Mukherjee, K. N. Panikkar, and Sucheta Mahajan, *India's Struggle for Independence* (New Delhi: Penguin Books, 1988) 5–9.
3. S. N. Chaudhury, *Orissa: A Study in British Policy* (Calcutta: Firma KLM, 1985), 63–70.
4. Kailash Chandra Dash, *Peasant and Tribal Movements in Orissa* (New Delhi: Manohar Publishers, 2005), 71–79.
5. Marc Galanter, *Competing Equalities: Law and the Backward Classes in India* (Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1984), 23–30.
6. Sumit Sarkar, *Modern India 1885–1947* (Delhi: Macmillan, 1983), 11–14.
7. Chandra et al., *India's Struggle for Independence*, 26–30.
8. Dash, *Peasant and Tribal Movements in Orissa*, 83–90.
9. Chaudhury, *Orissa: A Study in British Policy*, 88–95.

10. M. N. Srinivas, *Caste in Modern India and Other Essays* (Bombay: Asia Publishing House, 1962), 55–62; André Béteille, *Society and Politics in India* (Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1991), 102–108.
11. Chaudhury, *Orissa: A Study in British Policy*, 102–108.
12. Dash, *Peasant and Tribal Movements in Orissa*, 91–97.
13. Béteille, *Society and Politics in India* , 118–121.
14. Dash, *Peasant and Tribal Movements in Orissa*, 103–108.
15. Srinivas, *Caste in Modern India and Other Essays* , 72–75.
16. Sarkar, *Modern India 1885–1947*, 49–52.
17. Dash, *Peasant and Tribal Movements in Orissa*, 110–115.
18. Chaudhury, *Orissa: A Study in British Policy*, 121–126.
19. Dash, *Peasant and Tribal Movements in Orissa*, 118–120.
20. Jena, *Socio-Political Movements in Orissa* , 53–56.
21. Chandra et al., *India's Struggle for Independence* , 64–68.
22. Jena, *Socio-Political Movements in Orissa* , 79–84.
23. Béteille, *Society and Politics in India* , 129–132.
24. Galanter, *Competing Equalities: Law and the Backward Classes in India* , 45–50.
25. Leela Dube, *Caste and Women* (New Delhi: Rawat Publications, 1996), 67–70.
26. Sukhadeo Thorat, *Blocked by Caste: Economic Discrimination in Modern India* (New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2009), 96–101.
27. Chandra et al., *India's Struggle for Independence*, 468–475.
28. Sarkar, *Modern India 1885–1947*, 398–401.
29. Chaudhury, *Orissa: A Study in British Policy*, 162–165.
30. Odisha Government, *Koraput District Gazetteer* (Cuttack: Government of Odisha, 1966), 214–217.
31. Dash, *Peasant and Tribal Movements in Orissa* , 186–190.
32. Odisha State Archives, Home Department Political File No. 34/1942, “Disturbances in Koraput District during Quit India Movement.”
33. Chandra et al., *India's Struggle for Independence*, 478–482.
34. Jena, *Socio-Political Movements in Orissa*, 121–125.
35. R. K. Nayak, *Scheduled Castes in Orissa: Problems and Perspectives* (New Delhi: Gyan Publishing House, 2001), 41–48.
36. Galanter, *Competing Equalities: Law and the Backward Classes in India* , 33–36.
37. Jena, *Socio-Political Movements in Orissa* ,112–118.
38. B. R. Ambedkar, *What Congress and Gandhi Have Done to the Untouchables* (Bombay: Thacker & Co., 1945), 115–120.
39. A. B. Mohanty, *Dalit Movement in Orissa* (New Delhi: Anmol Publications, 2004), 63–69.
40. Rajni Kothari, *Politics in India* (New Delhi: Orient Longman, 1970), 74–80.
41. Chandra et al., *India's Struggle for Independence*, 478–482.
42. Thorat, *Blocked by Caste: Economic Discrimination in Modern India*, 88–93.
43. Dube, *Caste and Women* , 71–75.
44. Sarkar, *Modern India 1885–1947*, 401–405.