



Trauma and Testimony in Partition Literature: Interpreting Silenced Voices in Divakaruni's *Independence* through Cathy Caruth

¹Shashank Kumar Bharti, ²Dr. Bindunath Jha

¹Research Scholar, ²Assistant Professor

Department of English

Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga

Abstract

This study analyses the representation of trauma and silenced voices in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's book *Independence*, employing Cathy Caruth's trauma theory as a theoretical framework. Set against the backdrop of the 1947 Partition of India, *Independence* presents a deeply gendered and fragmented portrayal of suffering, loss, and displacement. This study employs Caruth's concepts of belatedness, unclaimed experience, and the ethical duty of testimony to examine how Divakaruni expresses the silences inherent in Partition narratives, particularly the trauma experienced by women, which is often overlooked or omitted in official accounts. The study argues that the book functions as a literary and testimonial space where suffering remains unresolved and demands recognition. *Independence* employs non-linear narrative, suppressed recollections, and emotional turmoil to convey the psychological nature of trauma, as described by Caruth: a condition that defies coherent representation yet necessitates recognition. This study elucidates the role of Partition literature in memorialising community grief and acknowledging the disadvantaged voices that remain on the fringes of history and memory via this analytical lens.

Keywords: Partition Literature, Trauma Theory, Cathy Caruth, Silenced Voices, Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni

Introduction

One of the most defining and terrible events in South Asian history, the Partition of India in 1947, marks the traumatic change from colonial control to sovereign nationhood of the subcontinent. The incident set off one of the biggest mass migrations in human history, uprooting nearly 14 million people and perhaps killing one to two million others with the drawing of arbitrary lines separating India from Pakistan. Beyond its direct physical cost, the Partition split identities, families, and faiths, therefore leaving profound psychological scars on people and societies. Still, the emotional and sensory aspects of this trauma, especially as experienced by women, often go beyond the official histories. These silences reveal systematic shortcomings in recognising and protecting the voices of the underprivileged, not as accidental. In this sense, writing becomes a necessary venue for expressing what history conceals. Partition literature has long sought to provide fictional narratives as a kind of testifying, therefore giving voice to the personal aspects of this sorrow. Writers from both sides of the border, Saadat Hasan Manto, Amrita Pritam, Bapsi Sidhwa, and others- have resorted to narrative as a means of confronting the rupture, displacement, and memory defining the Partition legacy. Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *Independence* (2023) stands as a potent modern recreation of the Partition via the connected lives of three sisters, Deepa, Priya, and Jamini, each struggling with various kinds of loss and survival within this legacy. Set in Bengal, a territory sometimes overlooked in conventional Partition stories, the book emphasises the psychological and emotional toll of the conflict, especially for women whose traumas were sometimes absorbed or suppressed. This paper goes to the theoretical framework of Cathy Caruth, a fundamental voice

in trauma studies, to grasp how Independence recounts trauma. Trauma, Caruth sees, returns belatedly, usually in fractured or indirect forms, not as an event that is experienced in the moment. Trauma, she says, is "not locatable in the simple violent or original event," but rather in "its repeated ownership." This concept of latency, where the terrible event escapes immediate knowledge, helps to explain the jumbled ways in which survivors recount and remember their experiences. Caruth also stresses the terrible character of trauma, contending that many survivors find it difficult to communicate what has occurred to them, therefore turning testimony into a contradictory act of expression for what defies words. This essay contends that, using a Caruthian perspective, Divakaruni's *Independence* serves as a literary story of witness, exposing the suppressed traumas of Partition survivors, especially women. The book emphasises the ethical and emotional aspects of survival and memory by concentrating on the belated, fractured, and frequently indirect kinds of trauma suffered by its female protagonists. Using Caruth's ideas—latency, the return of the repressed, and the difficulty of perfect representation—this work investigates how the book not only depicts trauma but also embodies its shape. In the end, *Independence* becomes a place where suppressed voices are not only remembered but also re-heard, thereby orienting literature as an ethical venue for seeing and mending historical wounds.

Theoretical Framework: Cathy Caruth and the Ethics of Trauma Testimony

Through Cathy Caruth's important key publications, *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History* and the edited edition *Trauma: Explorations in Memory*, Cathy Caruth, a fundamental figure in the area of trauma studies, markedly changed our knowledge of psychological and narrative trauma. Caruth describes trauma as an experience essentially distinguished by temporal disjunction and narrative fragmentation, drawing on psychoanalytic theory, especially the writings of Freud, alongside deconstructionist philosophy. She describes trauma not just as a violent or catastrophic incident but also more fundamentally as "a wound that cries out," an experience so overpowering that it escapes complete understanding or registration at the moment of occurrence. Trauma, from her perspective, is marked by belatedness, what Freud called *Nachträglichkeit*, where the actual influence of the traumatic experience is only grasped retroactively, by its haunting return in flashbacks, nightmares, or involuntary repeats. This latency, or the postponed character of traumatic realisation, questions accepted ideas of memory and history by implying that trauma cannot be precisely written on a linear chronology. Caruth further contends that trauma disturbs language and narrative itself, therefore making the traumatic event both desperately in need of telling and fundamentally resistant to portrayal. The core of Caruth's idea of trauma testimony is this paradox: trauma must be testified to even while it defies articulation. In her understanding, testifying is an ethical engagement with the difficulty of complete expression, an act of bearing witness to something that defies symbolic integration, not a simple act of retelling. Trauma, then, is a communal and historical phenomenon as well as a personal or psychological ailment frequently ingrained in more major societal upheavals as war, genocide, enslavement, or in this case, Partition. Caruth stresses, particularly in art and literature, the indirect, symbolic mechanisms by which trauma is passed on throughout generations, groups, and civilisations. In her paradigm, literature becomes a privileged venue where the silences and gaps of historical trauma may be subtly expressed, not via factual reporting but rather through emotive and fractured narrative structures that mirror the very essence of trauma. Thus, fiction, poetry, and storytelling can act as kinds of substitute witnesses, providing venues where oppressed or repressed voices, especially those denied access to official histories, may be morally addressed. Caruth's theory raises ethical questions about the listener's or reader's obligation to hear what is not explicitly said, to identify the injured subject's tardy scream, and to take part in an intersubjective moment of witnessing. This ethical listening is about openness to the unknowable, a delayed but vital meeting with trauma's truth, not about mastery or knowledge. Caruth's writings force academics, readers, and authors both to reconsider how we interact with histories of violence and to accept the constraints and authority of story in expressing pain. Her observations in the framework of Partition literature provide a closer understanding of how fiction not only shows pain but also acts via its structure, therefore revealing the hidden scars of history and making audible the voices long muted by trauma's unspeakability.

Partition as Traumatic Rupture in *Independence*

Narrated through the personal, emotionally charged lives of three sisters living in a small city near Kolkata during the turbulent years of the 1940s, Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *Independence* (2023) is a devastating recreation of the Partition of India. The daughters of eminent physician and strong nationalist Dr. Nabakumar have quite distinct temperaments and goals. While Jamini sometimes lost in the shadow of her sisters, yearns for attention and affection, Priya is ambitious and dreams of being a doctor like her father, and Deepa is passionate and motivated by things of the heart. The murder of their father on the eve of India's independence marks a turning point in their lives and portends the turmoil to come, and throws the sisters into a world split apart by emotional dislocation, personal treachery, and sectarian bloodshed. Following each sister as she negotiates the developing horror of Partition, the book marks their unique paths with pain, loss, and the fight for survival in a country falling under the weight of political and religious turmoil. Partition in *Independence* is the emotional and narrative centre of the book, functioning as a terrible split separating individual and group life rather than just a historical backdrop. The violence that follows independence rioting, mass executions, forced migration, and sexual violence tears apart not just the sisters' family but also their sense of identity and belonging in the fabric of their world. The killing of Dr. Nabakumar, brought on by a Muslim mob, first shocks the girls and the reader into the randomness and violence of communal hate. But this moment is not unique; it becomes a sign of a more general crisis in which traditional loyalties fall apart under the weight of political philosophy and survival instinct, and community divisions are redrawn with ferocity. Every sister addresses Partition's trauma in various ways. Deepa, in love with a Muslim guy, must decide between love and society's expectations and finally gives up her pleasure to fit the demands of a divided country for social purity. In her pursuit of a doctor's degree, Priya must negotiate gendered restrictions and personal losses that test her inner drive. Perhaps the most emotionally sensitive, Jamini is left to negotiate invisibility, envy, and the profound psychological wounds of neglect and abandonment. The book is full of scenes of relocation and betrayal that show how the agony of the Partition permeates home and emotional spheres rather than staying inside national boundaries. The sisters must leave their childhood house, a symbolic cutting off of their history. Millions of people were lost from their land, identity, and community, and this loss of home serves as a metaphor for the more general disorientation brought about by Partition. Deepa's love engagement with Muslim man Raza highlights the brutality committed upon women's bodies during this era, therefore highlighting the trauma's gender aspect. Her love tale, full of suppressed wants and longing, captures the destiny of many women whose personal autonomy was destroyed by patriarchal and nationalistic systems. Though it refers to brutal sexual violence via tales of abduction, forced conversions, and "recovery" programs, echoing real-life horrors sometimes buried in historical records, the book does not necessarily show actual sexual abuse. Using Cathy Caruth's theory of trauma to *Independence* helps one to understand how the book interacts with the idea of belated return, the delayed re-experiencing of trauma too great to grasp in the moment. Especially Jamini, the sisters capture this belatedness. Years of silently festering envy and hatred from Jamini depict a mentality broken by buried trauma as they explode in unexpected and self-destructive ways. Likewise, long after the real events have passed, Priya's inner conflicts, her guilt over the murder of her father, the death of her patient, and her damaged connection with her sisters resurface at several stages in her life. These delayed emotional re-evaluations reflect Caruth's assertion that trauma is relived later as intrusive recollections and symbolic repetitions, not as it occurs. *Independence*'s architecture also reflects terrible fragmentation. The book is written from several points of view, each sister providing a somewhat accurate, emotionally coloured narrative of events. The fractured sense of self and reality, sometimes connected with trauma reflected in this narrative fragmentation. The sisters' experiences are shown as broken recollections full of inconsistencies, silences, and emotional distortions rather than as a smooth historical record. This literary device puts the reader in the subjective world of trauma where truth is elusive and time is nonlinear. Divakaruni's story, therefore, reflects Caruth's theory that pain typically arises through gaps, repetitions, and indirect representation and resists cohesive narrative. Partition is a wound that shapes identities, decisions, and relationships even now; it is not only a historical occurrence in India. The book shows how the split of 1947 is most felt in the emotional terrain of those who survive it, particularly women whose wounds were traditionally suppressed or discounted. Divakaruni not only broadens the field of Partition literature but also presents a convincing story of trauma that fits Caruth's observations on the belated, fractured, and unspeakable character of catastrophic historical events by showing the psychological and emotional aftermath via a gendered lens.

Gendered Trauma and the Silencing of Women's Voices

Though it presents strong images of historical brutality, partition literature has sometimes neglected or underplayed the gendered aspects of trauma. Official records and public discourse often ignored women's experiences during the 1947 Partition, marked by rape, kidnapping, forced conversions, and the crushing weight of societal shame. The patriarchal and nationalist ideas that inspired postcolonial stories sometimes place women as symbolic carriers of communal honour, therefore reducing them to metaphors of purity or victimisation rather than seeing them as actors with complicated psychological realities. Women's pain has too frequently been seen as a background element to the greater political battle, even in literary depictions. Given this setting, Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *Independence* represents a notable departure by centring women's daily experiences at the centre of the story. Through the lives of its heroes, especially Deepa and Priya, the book not only emphasises the distinctive traumas women experience but also investigates how these traumas are quieted and, finally, how their testimony finds expression using indirect storytelling techniques. The literary style of the book and Cathy Caruth's conception of trauma as "unspeakable" help one to see how independence lets these voices come out from the boundaries of silence. Deepa's character offers a striking case study of how nationalism and patriarchy can impact women's suffering. Her love for Raza, a Muslim guy, becomes a subject of intense struggle not just inside her family but also inside the greater communal narrative that denigrates cross-religious partnerships during the Partition. Deepa is quiet on several fronts: as a daughter required to keep Hindu honour, as a woman whose needs are subjected to political pressures, and as a romantic subject denied the power to pick her spouse. Her connection with Raza becomes personally tense and politically unacceptable following the murder of her father by a Muslim mob. Her ultimate distance from him is shown as an enforced sacrifice to preserve family and national honour rather than as a personal decision. Deepa's emotional pain of this rupture, however, never fully expresses itself; rather, it shows up in periods of inward contemplation, fractured recollections, and symbolic gestures, mirroring Caruth's view of trauma as something that resists direct articulation. Deepa does not openly grieve her loss; rather, the stillness around her love story creates a kind of indescribable sadness, a wound that stays in her mind and in the structure of the book itself. Though different, Priya's suffering draws attention to the gendered silence ingrained in post-Partition society. Priya, the sister most in line with her father's professional history, wants to be a doctor; her gender and the terrible upheavals brought about by the Partition often oppose her in this endeavour. Her battle is twofold: she must negotiate the emotional fallout from her father's death and the breakdown of her family, in addition to establishing herself in a career dominated by men. Survivor's guilt, emotional distance, and internalised demand to be strong define Priya's trauma. She channels her loss into her job, but her story is dotted with emotional ruptures, especially in her ties with her sisters and her patients. Her testimony of trauma shows up in small moments of care, in her relentless seclusion, and in her transient, terrible recollections rather than in huge confessional speeches. Like Deepa, Priya's suffering resists linear narrative; it returns in waves, fractured and postponed, keeping with Caruth's view of trauma as experienced not in the moment but by its haunting recurrence. Divakaruni lets these female testimonials show up not via direct exposition but rather via literary strategies reflecting the framework of trauma itself. The way the book employs several points of view, for example, helps to highlight the constraints of any one cohesive narrative while still allowing a more complex examination of each sister's inner world. Deepa, Priya, and Jamini alternately highlight the partiality and subjectivity of memory in the book, therefore showing how trauma alters cohesive identity and narrative certainty. The private monologues strewn throughout the book accentuate this impression even more by shedding light on the psychological states of the protagonists and by exposing the gaps and inconsistencies marking traumatic memory. Often circling important traumatic events without explicitly identifying them, these monologues use metaphor, imagery, and silence to portray emotional intensity. Deepa's memories of Raza, for instance, are rich with sensory description and emotional desire but are never presented as a simple recounting. This indirect method honours the terrible nature of her loss and gives readers room to interact sympathetically with her fractured experience. Another formal approach Divakaruni uses to illustrate the nonlinear character of trauma is temporal dislocation. Trauma compresses time and disturbs temporal consistency; hence, the story often alternates between past and present, memory and experience. Characters sometimes remember past events not by conscious thought but rather via forced flashbacks set off by particular sights, sounds, or emotional states. This mimetic portrayal of trauma fits Caruth's theory that traumatic memory returns randomly, usually with the same affective force as the original event, but is not integrated into awareness. The structure of the book, therefore, becomes a venue where trauma is not only spoken but

also performed, its gaps, repetitions, and silences acting as formal correlates to the psychological dislocations its protagonists experience. Independence finally confirms the need for listening to women's trauma as a continuous process of memory, silence, and survival rather than as a finished story. By giving the suppressed experiences of Deepa and Priya a voice, albeit indirectly, Divakaruni questions the historical erasure of women's Partition pain and provides a literary form that fits the intricacy of their testimony. Caruth's theory deepens our knowledge of how these voices serve not just as potent claims of memory and existence against silence but also as representations of victimhood. The book honours their endurance rather than trying to heal their trauma; it provides an ethical forum where the reader can see the horrible. By doing this, Independence joins a growing corpus of work aimed at recovering the gendered aspects of historical trauma and therefore highlighting the wounds official histories have long neglected to notice.

Fiction as Testimony: Literary Ethics and Narrative Voice

In historical contexts where official records fail to document the full spectrum of human suffering, particularly the experiences of marginalised or silenced communities, literature frequently emerges as a critical space for surrogate testimony, a means of bearing witness to traumas that escape formal historiography. Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *Independence* exhibits this role by presenting fiction as a venue of ethical witnessing that amplifies the suppressed voices of women affected by India's partition. Divakaruni weaves together the tales of Priya, Deepa, and Jamini to create a narrative that compensates for gaps in state-sanctioned history, notably the erasure of women's pain from common memory. This surrogate witness is strongly related to Cathy Caruth's theory of trauma, specifically her ethics of listening, in which the act of reading becomes an ethical engagement with the unthinkable. Caruth believes that trauma isn't fully felt at the time it happens but comes back later, often in broken or unspoken ways, and that the listener, or reader, should accept this broken story without trying to make it fit together or find a resolution. This notion is represented in *Independence* by individuals who either struggle to express their pain or keep silent owing to societal restraints, as well as a narrative structure that replicates the discontinuities of trauma. For example, Priya's reluctance to share her father's death or her emotional issues with her sisters is more than just personal suppression; it represents the systemic inability to truly communicate trauma. Deepa's inability to openly express her love for Raza, as well as the internalised guilt she suffers, highlights how patriarchy and aggressive nationalism mute suffering. These instances of withheld confession, delayed narration, or inadequate articulation represent what Caruth refers to as trauma's resistance to full verbalisation and the need for indirect means of communication. Divakaruni avoids sensationalising pain but instead encourages compassionate witnessing through meticulous narrative pacing, internal monologue, and the emotional realism of her characters' experiences. The novel does not elicit sympathy or shock from its readers; rather, it fosters a feeling of moral awareness, encouraging readers to interact with what is left unsaid as much as what is spoken. The text's silences and narrative gaps—moments when a memory lapses, an emotion is barely hinted at, or a character shifts the subject—serve not as narrative flaws but as artistic representations of trauma's unfathomable nature. These gaps encourage readers to engage in meaning-making, bear witness without interference, and recognise the limits of their understanding. In this sense, *Independence* becomes not merely a fictitious story but also an ethical act of testimony, enlisting the reader as a witness to the enduring scars of Partition, particularly those suffered by women. The novel's multivocal structure, shifting timelines, and refusal to provide neat resolutions all contribute to the disorientation and fragmentation of trauma, establishing literature as a powerful medium for recovering lost histories and rehumanising those silenced by violence and social amnesia. Divakaruni's fiction exemplifies how literature can serve as an ethical archive—one that holds space for voices that history has failed to record—and thus fulfils Caruth's vision of trauma testimony as both a necessity and an impossibility that requires empathetic and ethical listening.

Conclusion

Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *Independence* emerges as a remarkable piece of literary testimony that gives voice to the hidden traumas of Partition, particularly those experienced by women, using a paradigm consistent with Cathy Caruth's trauma theory. The novel does not just record the events of 1947 as historical facts but rather revisits them via the intimate, subjective experiences of three sisters whose lives are upended by violence, grief, and relocation. These tales do not provide entire, cohesive descriptions; rather, they reflect the delayed, fragmented, and sometimes indirect nature of trauma, mirroring Caruth's claim that trauma resists

instant comprehension and only reappears through eerie repetition, silence, or metaphor. The sisters' inner anguish, the narrative's time changes, and the skillfully represented gaps in recollection all combine to form a literary style that is discontinuous, incomplete, and emotionally charged, much like the structure of traumatic memory. In doing so, Divakaruni's fiction creates an ethical space for listening, in which the reader is asked to bear witness to suffering with empathy and compassion rather than analyse or resolve it. By enabling such testimony to emerge via fiction, *Independence* reclaims a place frequently denied in official history books: one in which memory is maintained, loss is acknowledged, and survivors' humanity is restored. Literature, therefore, serves as both an archive and a kind of resistance, confronting historical erasure with emotional truth. This method creates new research opportunities, like comparing Partition literature from India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh; exploring ideas from other trauma experts like Dominick LaCapra or Shoshana Felman; and looking at how oral histories and fictional stories can help us better understand shared trauma. Finally, the story encourages both intellectual analysis and ethical contemplation. Readers, historians, and authors are all responsible for bearing witness to historical trauma, not by standing up for the silent, but by listening to their echoes, honouring their tales, and ensuring that their experiences, no matter how fragmentary or delayed, are never forgotten. *Independence*, with its quiet yet strong voice, invites us to listen to the unseen and, in doing so, rehumanise those histories that have been left behind.

Works Cited

- Ahmed, Kabir. "Trauma in African Literature: Culture and the Phenomenon of Mental Distress in Achebe's *Things Fall Apart* and Kilanko's *Daughters Who Walk This Path*." *Nsukka Journal of the Humanities*, vol. 30, no. 1, June 2022, pp. 59–73, <https://doi.org/10.62250/nsuk.2022.30.1.59-73>. Accessed 25 Mar. 2024.
- Butalia, Urvashi. "Community, State and Gender: Some Reflections on the Partition of India." *Oxford Literary Review*, vol. 16, no. 1, July 1994, pp. 33–67, <https://doi.org/10.3366/olr.1994.002>. Accessed 24 Apr. 1993.
- Caruth, Cathy. *Trauma: Explorations in Memory*. Johns Hopkins University Press, 1995.
- Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996.
- Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni. *Independence*. HarperCollins, 2023.
- Felman, Shoshana, and Dori Laub. *Testimony: Crises of Witnessing in Literature, Psychoanalysis and History*. Routledge, 1992.
- Lacapa, Dominick. *Writing History, Writing Trauma*. 2001. The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2014.
- Menon, Ritu, and Kamla Bhasin. *Borders and Boundaries: How Women Experienced the Partition of India*. Rutgers U.P., 1998.
- Montagne, Renee. "Partition, through a Child's Eyes, in 'The Night Diary.'" *KASU*, 11 Mar. 2018, www.kasu.org/arts-culture/2018-03-11/partition-through-a-childs-eyes-in-the-night-diary. Accessed 30 Apr. 2025.