



A PREDICTIVE FRAMEWORK FOR REAL-TIME COURTROOM ASSISTANCE USING AI-BASED MOCK LEGAL ADVISOR

Sunidhi Shenoy, N.Vishnu Venkatesh

Student , Assistant Professor
Department of Forensic science
JAIN(Deemed-to-be University)
Bengaluru, India

Abstract:-

The legal profession has long been known for its reliance on human judgment, legal precedents, and strategic planning. However, the advent of artificial intelligence (AI) in recent years has started to revolutionize how legal professionals approach case preparation and courtroom strategies. This paper explores the development of an innovative AI-driven tool, the mock legal advisor, designed to assist lawyers in navigating the complexities of trial proceedings. By leveraging machine learning and natural language processing (NLP), this app predicts potential cross-examination questions, suggests necessary evidence, and provides insights on legal strategies during real-time court sessions. The app is built on a foundation of vast datasets containing historical case law, trial transcripts, and expert testimonies, enabling it to predict key aspects of trial dynamics. Despite the promising potential of AI in the legal sector, the integration of such technologies raises important ethical considerations, including the risk of bias, privacy concerns, and challenges related to adoption within the traditionally conservative legal industry. This paper provides an in-depth examination of the proposed AI solution, its potential applications, limitations, and the future implications for the legal profession. The findings suggest that, while the mock legal advisor can enhance efficiency and accuracy in legal practice, careful consideration of its ethical and regulatory implications is essential to ensure its successful integration into courtroom proceedings.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, LegalTech, Predictive Analytics, Courtroom Strategy, NLP, Machine Learning, Legal Advisor, Cross-Examination Prediction, Evidence Recommendation, AI Ethics.

Introduction

The legal industry, historically rooted in tradition and reliant on human expertise, has witnessed transformation shifts with the advent of technology. Among the most significant of these shifts is the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into various aspects of legal practice. AI technologies, ranging from machine learning algorithms to natural language processing (NLP), have demonstrated significant potential in automating routine tasks such as document review, legal research, and contract analysis. However, the use of AI in the courtroom remains largely unexplored. This paper aims to bridge that gap by presenting the concept of a mock legal advisor — an AI-powered tool designed to assist lawyers in real-time courtroom proceedings.

The primary function of the mock legal advisor is to act as an intelligent assistant, predicting key aspects of the trial, including cross-examination questions, evidence presentation, and courtroom strategy. By analyzing real-time transcripts and past case data, the app can provide insights into the likely questions that opposing counsel might ask during cross-examination, suggest key pieces of evidence, and recommend effective lines

of questioning. This proactive approach is expected to enhance lawyers' preparation, enabling them to respond more effectively and strategically in the courtroom.

AI has already shown promise in other legal tasks, such as predicting court outcomes based on historical case data. However, the application of AI to assist in real-time trial strategy is an area that remains relatively under-explored. As AI continues to advance, there is a growing need to explore its potential to transform not only how legal professionals conduct research and manage cases, but also how they engage in the actual practice of law during trial proceedings.

This research presents an innovative solution that aims to equip legal professionals with the tools needed to stay ahead in the courtroom. The AI-powered mock legal advisor represents a step forward in the use of technology to enhance the effectiveness of legal professionals and improve case outcomes. However, despite its potential benefits, the adoption of AI in legal practice is not without challenges. Issues of bias, data privacy, and ethical use of AI in legal contexts must be carefully addressed to ensure that these technologies are used responsibly and transparently.

The purpose of this paper is to explore the potential impact of the mock legal advisor, providing a comprehensive analysis of its capabilities, benefits, challenges, and ethical implications. This research also aims to examine how the tool can contribute to the ongoing transformation of the legal profession and the role of AI in legal practice.

By examining both the technical and ethical considerations surrounding this technology, this paper seeks to provide a balanced perspective on the future of AI in the courtroom. Through this exploration, the study aims to highlight the promising role of AI in improving the efficiency, accuracy, and fairness of legal proceedings, while also considering the critical issues that must be addressed for successful implementation.

Methodology

1 Smart Case Input & Analysis

The first step in the methodology focuses on enabling users to input case information smartly and systematically. A structured form-based input module is developed, allowing users to fill in key case details such as suspect name, location, time of incident, and types of evidence. To handle unstructured case notes and descriptions, Natural Language Processing (NLP) libraries like spaCy and NLTK are integrated to parse and extract meaningful information. Through entity recognition techniques, the system identifies and organizes names, dates, places, weapons, and other important entities from text entries. Parsed data is stored in a structured database (e.g., Firebase or MongoDB), facilitating easy retrieval and further analysis. This process ensures that the foundational case data is accurate, searchable, and analyzable for downstream AI applications.

2 AI-Based Case Suggestions & Predictions

To enhance the decision-making capabilities of the legal advisor, AI-based suggestions and predictions are integrated into the system. Preprocessing involves vectorizing existing case data using techniques like TF-IDF and sentence embeddings such as BERT. Similarity algorithms, including cosine similarity and K-nearest neighbors (KNN), are applied to match new cases with historically solved cases. Additionally, machine learning models like Decision Trees and Random Forest classifiers are trained to recognize patterns related to motives, suspect behavior, and inconsistencies. The system outputs intelligent predictions regarding likely motives, probable scenarios, and points of contradiction, enabling lawyers to anticipate challenges and plan strategic interventions effectively.

3 Auto-Generated Legal & Forensic Summaries

To simplify complex case documentation, the methodology incorporates an AI-driven summarization module. Language models such as OpenAI GPT, BART, or T5 are utilized to automatically generate two distinct types of summaries: Legal Summaries and Forensic Summaries. Legal Summaries condense key outcomes like verdicts, charges, and applicable laws, while Forensic Summaries distill critical evidence findings, forensic methods used, and results obtained. Training or fine-tuning of models on domain-specific datasets ensures that the summaries maintain high relevance and accuracy. The output is presented through a user-friendly

interface, offering download and sharing capabilities, which streamlines case reviews and collaboration among legal teams.

4 Interactive Dashboard with Visual Insights

The final component of the methodology focuses on delivering actionable insights through an interactive dashboard. Built using React.js for the frontend and Firebase or Node.js for the backend, the dashboard offers a secure and responsive user experience. Visualization tools like Chart.js and D3.js enable users to explore data trends, such as crime type frequency, location-based patterns on interactive maps, and temporal activity charts. Role-based access control differentiates permissions for admins, students, and legal advisors to ensure data confidentiality. The dashboard supports real-time updates and incorporates user feedback mechanisms to continuously refine the system. Through this dynamic visualization platform, users can monitor trends, draw conclusions, and make data-driven legal decisions efficiently.

Experimental Setup

The Auto-Generated Legal & Forensic Summaries module underwent evaluation by comparing the AI-generated summaries with manually created expert summaries across 1,000 sample cases, assessed based on ROUGE scores for summarization quality. The Interactive Dashboard was stress-tested with up to 1,000 concurrent users to ensure responsiveness, real-time updates, and correct role-based access segregation.

Model training was conducted using TensorFlow and PyTorch on NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPUs via AWS cloud infrastructure. Hyperparameter tuning included experimenting with learning rates, batch sizes, and optimization strategies. The system's overall effectiveness was validated through pilot testing with a group of 25 practicing lawyers, who provided qualitative feedback on system usability, predictive accuracy, summarization clarity, and dashboard intuitiveness. These experiments collectively ensured that the developed AI framework was robust, scalable, and practically applicable to real-world legal scenarios.

5. Analysis of Results

The AI system achieved an overall 88% accuracy in predicting logical follow-up questions during cross-examinations, significantly outperforming baseline models that hovered around 70-75%. The precision rate for evidence recommendation stood at 85%, with a recall of 83%, indicating a strong ability to suggest relevant evidentiary material in diverse case contexts. Latency tests revealed that the average time taken to generate a prediction was under 2 seconds, meeting the real-time requirements necessary for live courtroom use.

Feedback from pilot users indicated that the system enhanced trial preparedness by 78%, with lawyers reporting increased confidence in anticipating opposing counsel's strategies. However, certain limitations were observed. The AI struggled with interpreting sarcasm, rhetorical questioning, and highly emotional exchanges, which sometimes led to less relevant predictions. Additionally, in complex multi-party litigations, the model's performance slightly declined due to the intricate conversational dynamics. These findings highlight the strengths of the system while also suggesting future enhancements, particularly in the areas of emotional intelligence integration and dialogue complexity handling.

6. Conclusion and Future Work

This research validates the transformative potential of an AI-based mock legal advisor in augmenting courtroom strategies. By combining real-time natural language processing with predictive analytics, the proposed system offers lawyers a powerful tool to enhance strategic decision-making during trials. The impressive accuracy, precision, and responsiveness observed in the experimental results affirm the feasibility of deploying such systems in real-world legal settings.

Looking forward, several avenues for future research are identified. Integrating emotional and sentiment analysis modules could significantly improve the system's ability to interpret witness demeanor and rhetorical nuances. Expanding the system to support multilingual capabilities would enable broader applicability across international jurisdictions. Moreover, incorporating a real-time analytics dashboard that visualizes courtroom sentiment trends, witness credibility scores, and evolving strategic recommendations could further empower legal practitioners. Finally, establishing continuous learning pipelines, where the system retrains itself based

on new courtroom data and user feedback, will ensure that the AI advisor remains adaptive and relevant in the rapidly evolving landscape of legal practice.

7. References

- Ashley, K. D. (2017). *Artificial intelligence and legal analytics: New tools for law practice in the digital age*. Cambridge University Press.
- British Institute of International and Comparative Law. (2023). *Use of artificial intelligence in legal practice*. https://www.biicl.org/documents/170_use_of_artificial_intelligence_in_legal_practice_final.pdf
- Duke Undergraduate Law Review. (2025). Balancing justice: The role of artificial intelligence in the courtroom. *Duke Undergraduate Law Review*. <https://www.dukeundergraduatelawreview.com/online-journal/balancing-justice-the-role-of-artificial-intelligence-in-the-courtroom>
- Federal Judicial Center. (2023). *An introduction to artificial intelligence for federal judges*. https://www.fjc.gov/sites/default/files/materials/47/An_Introduction_to_Artificial_Intelligence_for_Federal_Judges.pdf
- International Journal of Novel Research and Development. (2024). AI based virtual legal advisor. *IJNRD*, IJNRD2404730. <https://www.ijnrd.org/papers/IJNRD2404730.pdf>
- Journal of Comparative Research in Law & Criminology. (2024). Promises and pitfalls of artificial intelligence for legal decision-making. *Journal of Comparative Research in Law & Criminology*. <https://journalcrcl.org/crcl/article/view/62/28>
- Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research. (2024). Legal advisor bot using Langchain and OpenAI. *JETIR*, JETIR2405853. <https://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR2405853.pdf>
- Katz, D. M., Bommarito, M. J., & Blackman, J. (2017). A general approach for predicting the behavior of the Supreme Court of the United States. *PLOS ONE*, 12(4), e0174698. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174698>
- LeewayHertz. (2023). *AI for legal research: Applications, benefits, tools, and development*. <https://www.leewayhertz.com/ai-for-legal-research/>
- LinkedIn. (2024). Transforming litigation with AI: Key innovations and applications. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/transforming-litigation-ai-key-innovations-applications-legal-9f1se>
- Liquid Legal Institute. (2024). *First global report on the state of artificial intelligence in legal practice*. <https://liquid-legal-institute.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/E-Book-First-Global-Report-on-AI-in-Legal-Practice.pdf>
- Maruti Techlabs. (2024). 5 ways legal tech benefits with AI predictive analytics. <https://marutitech.com/ai-legal-predictive-analytics-benefits/>
- PubMed Central. (2024). Artificial intelligence and real decisions: Predictive systems and judicial proceedings. *PMC*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11566138/>
- ResearchGate. (2024). Artificial intelligence and machine learning in legal research: A comprehensive analysis. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378690075_Artificial_Intelligence_and_Machine_Learning_in_Legal_Research_A_Comprehensive_Analysis
- ResearchGate. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence on legal practice: Enhancing legal research, contract analysis, and predictive justice. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388470554_The_impact_of_Artificial_Intelligence_on_legal_practice_enhancing_legal_research_contract_analysis_and_predictive_justice
- Surden, H. (2014). Machine learning and law. *Washington Law Review*, 89(1), 87–115.
- UpubScience. (2024). Legal recommendation systems: Applications and implications. <https://upubscience.com/upload/20241202170021.pdf>

- ArXiv. (2023). AutoLAW: Augmented legal reasoning through legal precedent prediction. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.16034>
- Academia.edu. (2024). Using artificial intelligence in legal practice. https://www.academia.edu/127103176/Using_Artificial_Intelligence_in_Legal_Practice
- American Bar Association. (2024). Using AI for predictive analytics in litigation. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/senior_lawyers/resources/voice-of-experience/2024-october/using-ai-for-predictive-analytics-in-litigation/