

ON ROUGH IDEALS IN Γ -NEAR-RINGS

Dr. V.S.Subha

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics
Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002, India

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present the concepts of congruence relation in Γ -near-rings and the lower and upper approximations of an ideal with respect to the congruence relation and introduce the notion of rough ideals in Γ -near-rings, which is a generalization of the notion of near rings. Some properties of the lower and upper approximations are discussed in Γ -near-rings.

Keywords: Γ -near-rings, Congruence relation, Rough ideals.

1 Introduction

Γ -near-ring and the ideal theory of Γ -near-ring were introduced by Bh. Sathyanaranan[7]. For basic terminology in near-ring we refer to Pilz[6] and in Γ -near-ring.

Pawlak [3-5] introduced the theory of rough sets in 1982. It is an another independent method to deal the vagueness and uncertainty. Pawlak used equivalence class in a set as the building blocks for the construction of lower and upper approximations of a set. Many researchers studied the algebraic approach of rough sets in different algebraic structures such as [1,2,8,9]. Thillaigovindan and Subha[10] introduces rough ideals in near-rings.

The aim of this paper is to present the concepts of congruence relation in Γ -near-rings and the lower and upper approximations of an ideal with respect to the congruence relation and introduce the notion of rough ideals in Γ -near-rings, which is a generalization of the notion of near rings. Some properties of the lower and upper approximations are discussed in Γ -near-rings.

2 Preliminaries and Congruence Relation

We first recall some basic concepts for the sake of completeness. Recall from[], that a non empty set N with two binary operations $+$ and \bullet multiplication is called a near-ring, if it satisfies the following axioms.

(i) $(N, +)$ is a group; (ii) (N, \bullet) is a semigroup; (iii) $(n_1 + n_2) \bullet n_3 = n_1 \bullet n_3 + n_2 \bullet n_3$, for all $n_1, n_2, n_3 \in N$.

Definition 2.1. [7] A Γ -near-ring is a triple where $(M, +, \Gamma)$ where

- i) $(M, +)$ is a group
- ii) Γ is non empty set of binary operators on M such that for $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $(M, +, \alpha)$ is a near-ring
- iii) $x\alpha(y\beta z) = (x\alpha y)\beta z$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

In Γ -near-ring, $0\gamma x = 0$ and $(-x)\gamma y = -x\gamma y$, but in general $x\gamma 0 \neq 0$ for some $x \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$. More precisely the above near-ring is right near-ring.

$M_0 = \{n \in M / n\gamma 0 = 0\}$ is called the zero-symmetric part of M and $M = \{n \in M / n\gamma 0 = n, \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \{n \in M / n\gamma n' = n \text{ for all } n' \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is called the constant part of M . M is called zero-symmetric if $M = M_0$ and M is called constant if $M = M_c$.

Definition 2.2. A subset I of a Γ -near-ring M is called a left(*resp.* right) ideal of M , if

- i) $(I, +)$ is a normal divisor of $(M, +)$ and
- ii) $a\alpha(x + b) - a\alpha b \in I$ (*resp.* $x\gamma b \in I$) for all $x \in I, \alpha \in \Gamma$ and $a, b \in M$.

Let I be an ideal of M and X be a non-empty subset of M . Then the sets $\rho_I(X) = \{x \in M / x + I \subseteq X\}$ and $\bar{\rho}_I(X) = \{x \in M / (x + I) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$ are called respectively the lower and upper approximations of the set X with respect to the ideal I .

For any ideal I of M and $a, b \in M$, we say a is congruent to $b \pmod I$, written as $a \equiv b \pmod A$ if $a - b \in I$.

It is easy to see that relation $a \equiv b \pmod A$ is an equivalence relation. Therefore, when $U = M$ and θ is the above equivalence relation, we use the air (M, A) instead of the approximation space (U, θ) .

Also, in this case we use the symbols $\underline{\rho}_1(X)$ and $\overline{\rho}_1(X)$ instead of $\underline{\rho}(X)$ and $\overline{\rho}(X)$. If X is a subset of M , then X^c will be denoted by $M - X$.

3. SOME PROPERTIES OF ROUGH APPROXIMATIONS

In this section we study some fundamental properties of the lower and upper approximations of any subsets of a Γ -near-ring with respect to an ideal. Throughout this paper M denotes the Γ -near-ring unless otherwise specified.

Lemma 3.1. For every approximation space (M, I) and every subsets $X, Y \subseteq M$, the following hold:

- 1) $\underline{\rho}_1(M - X) = M - \overline{\rho}_1(X)$
- 2) $\overline{\rho}_1(M - X) = M - \underline{\rho}_1(X)$
- 3) $\overline{\rho}_1(X) = (\underline{\rho}_1(X^c))^c$
- 4) $\underline{\rho}_1(X) = (\overline{\rho}_1(X^c))^c$.

Proof. Straight forward.

Theorem 3.2. For every approximation space (M, I) and ever subsets $X, Y \subseteq M$, then the following hold:

- 1) $\underline{\rho}_1(X) \subseteq X \subseteq \overline{\rho}_1(X)$
- 2) $\underline{\rho}_1(\emptyset) = \emptyset = \overline{\rho}_1(\emptyset)$
- 3) $\underline{\rho}_1(M) \subseteq M \subseteq \overline{\rho}_1(M)$
- 4) $\overline{\rho}_1(X \cup Y) = \overline{\rho}_1(X) \cup \overline{\rho}_1(Y)$
- 5) $\underline{\rho}_1(X \cap Y) = \underline{\rho}_1(X) \cap \underline{\rho}_1(Y)$
- 6) If $X \subseteq Y$, then $\underline{\rho}_1(X) \subseteq \overline{\rho}_1(Y)$ and $\overline{\rho}_1(X) \subseteq \overline{\rho}_1(Y)$
- 7) $\overline{\rho}_1(X \cap Y) \subseteq \overline{\rho}_1(X) \cap \overline{\rho}_1(Y)$
- 8) $\underline{\rho}_1(X \cup Y) \supseteq \underline{\rho}_1(X) \cap \underline{\rho}_1(Y)$
- 9) If J is an ideal of M such that $I \subseteq J$, then $\underline{\rho}_1(A) \supseteq \underline{\rho}_J(A)$ and $\overline{\rho}_1(A) \subseteq \overline{\rho}_J(A)$
- 10) $\underline{\rho}_1(\underline{\rho}_1(X)) = \underline{\rho}_1(X)$
- 11) $\overline{\rho}_1(\overline{\rho}_1(M)) = \overline{\rho}_1(X)$
- 12) $\overline{\rho}_1(\underline{\rho}_1(M)) = \underline{\rho}_1(X)$
- 13) $\underline{\rho}_1(\overline{\rho}_1(M)) = \overline{\rho}_1(X)$
- 14) $\underline{\rho}_1(x + I) = \overline{\rho}_1(x + I)$ for all $x \in M$.
- 15) $\underline{\rho}_1(X) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_1(Y) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_1(X \Gamma Y)$
- 16) $\overline{\rho}_1(X) \Gamma \overline{\rho}_1(Y) \subseteq \overline{\rho}_1(X \Gamma Y)$.

Corollary 3.4. Let (M, I) be any approximation space. Then

- (i) For every $A \subseteq M$, $\underline{\rho}_1(A)$ and $\overline{\rho}_1(A)$ are definable sets
- (ii) For every $x \in M$, $x + I$ is definable set.

Theorem 3.5. Let I be an ideal of M and A, B nonempty subsets of M , then

$$\overline{\rho}_1(A) \Gamma \overline{\rho}_1(B) = \overline{\rho}_1(A \Gamma B).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \overline{\rho}_1(A) \Gamma \overline{\rho}_1(B)$. Then $x = ayb$ for some $a \in \overline{\rho}_1(A)$ and $a \in \overline{\rho}_1(B)$. There exist $y, z \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $y \in (a + I) \cap A$ and $z \in (b + I) \cap B$. Hence $y\gamma z \in A \Gamma B$ and

$y\gamma z \in (a + I) \Gamma (b + I)$. This implies that $y\gamma z \in ayb + I = x + I$ and hence $a \in \overline{\rho}_1(A \Gamma B)$. Hence

$$\overline{\rho}_1(A) \Gamma \overline{\rho}_1(B) \subseteq \overline{\rho}_1(A \Gamma B). \quad (1)$$

On the other hand assume that $x \in \overline{\rho}_1(A \Gamma B)$. Then there exists $y \in M$ such that $y \in x + I$ and $y \in A \Gamma B$. This implies that $y = a_1 \gamma b_1$ for some $a_1 \in A$ and $b_1 \in B$. Since

$x \in y + I = a_1 \gamma b_1 + I = (a_1 + I) \Gamma (b_1 + I)$, x can be expressed as $x = x_1 \gamma x_2$ for some

$x_1 \in a_1 + I$ and $x_2 \in b_1 + I$. This implies that $a_1 \in x_1 + I$ and $b_1 \in x_2 + I$ and so $y = a_1 \gamma b_1$ and $(x_1 + I) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $(x_2 + I) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. This means that $x_1 \in \bar{\rho}_1(A)$ and $x_2 \in \bar{\rho}_1(B)$. Thus $x = x_1 \gamma x_2 \in \bar{\rho}_1(A) \Gamma \bar{\rho}_1(B)$ and hence

$$\bar{\rho}_1(A \Gamma B) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_1(A) \Gamma \bar{\rho}_1(B) \tag{2}$$

Combining (1) and (2), we obtain $\bar{\rho}_1(A \Gamma B) = \bar{\rho}_1(A) \Gamma \bar{\rho}_1(B)$.

Theorem 3.6. Let I be an ideal of M and A, B nonempty subsets of \check{N} , then

$$\underline{\rho}_I(A) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_I(B) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(A \Gamma B).$$

Proof. Let $x \in M$. Suppose $x \in \underline{\rho}_I(A) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_I(B)$. Then $x = a \gamma b$ for some $a \in \underline{\rho}_I(A)$ and $b \in \underline{\rho}_I(B)$. Hence $a + I \subseteq A$ and $b + I \subseteq B$. Now $(a + I) \Gamma (b + I) \subseteq A \Gamma B$ and $a \gamma b + I \subseteq A \Gamma B$. This implies that $x + I \subseteq A \Gamma B$. Hence $x \in \underline{\rho}_I(A \Gamma B)$.

Thus $\underline{\rho}_I(A) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_I(B) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(A \Gamma B)$.

Example 3.7. Let $N = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and $\Gamma = \{0, a, b\}$. Define addition and multiplication in M as follows:

+	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

Γ	0	a	b
0	0	0	0
a	0	a	a
b	0	b	b

Then $(M, +, \Gamma)$ is a Γ -near-ring

Let $I = \{0, a\}$, $A = \{b, c\}$, $B = \{a, b\}$. Then $\underline{\rho}_I(A) = \{x \in M / x + I \subseteq A\} = \{b, c\}$.

$\underline{\rho}_I(B) = \{x \in M / x + I \subseteq B\} = \emptyset$. $\underline{\rho}_I(A) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_I(B) = \emptyset$. $\underline{\rho}_I(A \Gamma B) = \{b\}$.

Then $\underline{\rho}_I(A \Gamma B) \not\subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(A) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_I(B)$.

Theorem 3.8. Let I be an ideal of M and A, B nonempty subsets of M , then

$$\bar{\rho}_1(A) + \bar{\rho}_1(B) = \bar{\rho}_1(A + B).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \bar{\rho}_1(A) + \bar{\rho}_1(B)$. Then $x = a + b$ for some $a \in \bar{\rho}_1(A)$ and $b \in \bar{\rho}_1(B)$. there exist $y, z \in M$ such that $y \in (a + I) \cap A$ and $z \in (b + I) \cap B$.

Now $y + z \in A + B$ and $y + z \in (a + I) + (b + I) = (a + b) + I = x + I$. This shows that $x + I \cap A + B \neq \emptyset$ and hence $x \in \bar{\rho}_1(A + B)$. Thus

$$\bar{\rho}_1(A) + \bar{\rho}_1(B) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_1(A + B) \tag{3}$$

Conversely, assume that $x \in \bar{\rho}_1(A + B)$. There exists $y \in M$ such that $y \in x + I$ and $y \in A + B$. This implies $y = a_1 + b_1$ for some $a_1 \in A$ and $b_1 \in B$. Since

$x \in y + I = (a_1 + b_1) + I = (a_1 + I) + (b_1 + I)$, x can be expressed as $x = x_1 + x_2$ for some $x_1 \in a_1 + I$ and $x_2 \in b_1 + I$. This means that $a_1 \in x_1 + I$ and $b_1 \in x_2 + I$ and hence $y = a_1 + b_1$ and $(x_1 + I) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $(x_2 + I) \cap B \neq \emptyset$. This means that $x_1 \in \bar{\rho}_1(A)$ and $x_2 \in \bar{\rho}_1(B)$. Thus $x = x_1 + x_2 \in \bar{\rho}_1(A) + \bar{\rho}_1(B)$.

Hence $\bar{\rho}_1(A + B) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_1(A) + \bar{\rho}_1(B)$ (4)

Combining (3) and (4), we obtain $\bar{\rho}_1(A + B) = \bar{\rho}_1(A) + \bar{\rho}_1(B)$.

Theorem 3.9. Let I be an ideal of M and A, B nonempty subsets of M , then

$$\underline{\rho}_I(A) + \underline{\rho}_I(B) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(A + B).$$

Proof. Let $x \in M$. Suppose $x \in \underline{\rho}_I(A) + \underline{\rho}_I(B)$. Then $x = a + b$ for some $a \in \underline{\rho}_I(A)$ and $b \in \underline{\rho}_I(B)$. Hence $a + I \subseteq A$ and $b + I \subseteq B$. Now $(a + I) + (b + I) \subseteq A + B$ and

$(a + I) + I \subseteq A + B$. This implies that $x + I \subseteq A + B$. Hence $x \in \underline{\rho}_I(A + B)$, and thus

$$\underline{\rho}_I(A) + \underline{\rho}_I(B) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(A + B).$$

The reverse inclusion of the Theorem 3.9 is not true in general which is shown in the following example.

Example 3.10. Consider the same example as in Example 3.7,

$$\rho_I(A + B) = \{0, a, b, c\};$$

$$\rho_I(A) = \{b, c\}, \rho_I(B) = \emptyset; \rho_I(A) + \rho_I(B) = \{b, c\}.$$

Hence $\rho_I(A + B) \not\subseteq \rho_I(A) + \rho_I(B)$.

Lemma 3.11. Let I, J be two ideals of M and A a nonempty subset of M , then

- (i) $\rho_I(A) \cap \rho_J(A) \subseteq \rho_{I \cap J}(A)$
- (ii) $\bar{\rho}_{I \cap J}(A) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_I(A) \cap \bar{\rho}_J(A)$.

Proof. (i) Since $I \cap J \subseteq I, J$ by Theorem 3.2(9) we have, $\rho_I(A) \subseteq \rho_{I \cap J}(A)$ and $\rho_J(A) \subseteq \rho_{I \cap J}(A)$. Hence $\rho_I(A) \cap \rho_J(A) \subseteq \rho_{I \cap J}(A)$.

Again $I \cap J \subseteq I, J$ we have, $\bar{\rho}_{I \cap J}(A) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_I(A)$ and $\bar{\rho}_{I \cap J}(A) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_J(A)$.

Hence $\bar{\rho}_{I \cap J}(A) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_I(A) \cap \bar{\rho}_J(A)$.

This reverse inclusions of Lemma 3.11 are not true in general which is shown in the following example.

Example 3.12. Let $M = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and $\Gamma = \{0, a, b, \}$ Define addition and Γ in M as follows:

+	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

Γ	0	a	b
0	0	0	0
a	0	a	0
b	0	0	b

Then $(M, +, \Gamma)$ is a Γ -near-ring.

Let $I = \{0, a\}, J = \{0, b\}$ and $A = \{0, a, c\}$. Then I and J are ideals of M . $\rho_I(A) = \{0, a\}$,

$\rho_J(A) = \{a, c\}, \bar{\rho}_I(A) = M, \bar{\rho}_J(A) = M$ and $\bar{\rho}_{I \cap J}(A) = \{0, a, b\}, \rho_I(A) \cap \rho_J(A) = \{a\}, \rho_{I \cap J}(A) = A$.

$\rho_I(A) \cap \rho_J(A) \not\subseteq \rho_{I \cap J}(A)$ and $\bar{\rho}_{I \cap J}(A) \not\subseteq \bar{\rho}_I(A) \cap \bar{\rho}_J(A)$.

Theorem 3.13. If I and J are two ideals (resp. sub near-rings) of M , then $\bar{\rho}_I(J)$ is an ideal (resp. sub near-ring) of M .

Proof. Let I and J be ideals of M and $i, j \in \bar{\rho}_I(J)$. Then there exist $p \in (i + I) \cap J$ and $q \in (j + I) \cap J$. Since J is an ideal of M , $p - q \in J$,

$$p - q \in (i + I) - (j + I) = i + I + I - j$$

$$\subseteq i + I - j$$

$$= i - j + (j + I - j)$$

$$\subseteq i - j + I.$$

This implies that $((i - j) + J) \cap J \neq \emptyset$ and so $i, j \in \bar{\rho}_I(J)$.

Assume that $x \in \bar{\rho}_I(J)$ and $a \in M$. Then there exists $p \in (x + I) \cap J$ such that $p \in x + I$ and $p \in J$. Since J is an ideal of \check{N} , $a + p - a \in J$ and

$$a + p - a \in a + x + I - a = a + x - a + a + I - a$$

$$\subseteq a + x - a + I.$$

This shows that $(a + x - a + I) \cap J \neq \emptyset$ and $a + x - a \in \bar{\rho}_I(J)$.

Suppose $p \in \bar{\rho}_I(J)$ and $a \in M$. There exists $j \in M$ such that $j \in (p + I) \cap J$. J being an ideal of M , $j\gamma a \in J$ and $j\gamma a \in (p + I)\gamma a = p\gamma a + I$. Thus $(p\gamma a + I) \cap J \neq \emptyset$ and $p \in \bar{\rho}_I(J)$. Hence $\bar{\rho}_I(J)I\check{N} \subseteq \bar{\rho}_I(J)$. Let $a, b \in M$ and $p \in \bar{\rho}_I(J)$. So there exists $i \in (p + I) \cap J$. Since J is an ideal of M , $a\gamma(b + i) - a\gamma b \in J$ and

$$a\gamma(b + i) - a\gamma b \in a\gamma(b + (p + I)) - a\gamma b \subseteq a\gamma(b + p) - a\gamma b + I.$$

Thus $\{(a\gamma(b + p) - a\gamma b) + I\} \cap J \neq \emptyset$ and hence $a\gamma(b + p) - a\gamma b \in \bar{\rho}_I(J)$. Thus $\bar{\rho}_I(J)$ is an ideal of M .

Theorem 3.14. If I and J are two ideals (resp. sub Γ -near-rings) of M , then $\underline{\rho}_I(J)$ is an ideal (resp. sub Γ -near-ring) of M .

Proof. Let I and J be two ideals of M . Let $x, y \in \underline{\rho}_I(J)$. Then $x + I, y + I \subseteq J$. Since J is an ideal of M , $(x + I) - (y + I) \subseteq J$ and so $x - y + I \subseteq J$. Hence $x - y \in \underline{\rho}_I(J)$. Assume that $x \in \underline{\rho}_I(J)$ and $a \in M$. This implies that $x + I \subseteq J$ and J being an ideal of

M , $a + (x + I) - a \subseteq J$ and $a + (x + I) - a \subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(J)$. Let $x \in \underline{\rho}_I(J)$ and $a \in M$. Then $x + I \subseteq J$ and $(x + I)\gamma a \subseteq J$. Hence $x\gamma a + I = (x + I)\gamma a \subseteq J$ and $x\gamma a \in \underline{\rho}_I(J)$.

Again, let $p \in \underline{\rho}_I(J)$ and $a\gamma b \in M$. Then $p + I \subseteq J$. Now

$$(a\gamma(b + p) - a\gamma b) + I = (a\gamma(b + p) + I) - a\gamma b \subseteq J$$

because J is an ideal of M . Hence $a\gamma(b + p) - a\gamma b \in \underline{\rho}_I(J)$. Thus $\underline{\rho}_I(J)$ is an ideal of M .

4 ROUGH NEAR-RINGS AND IDEALS

In this section we introduce the notion of rough Γ -near-rings and rough ideals and study some of their properties.

Definition 4.1. Let I be an ideal of M and $\rho_I(A) = (\underline{\rho}_I(A), \bar{\rho}_I(A))$ a rough set in the approximation space (M, I) . If $\underline{\rho}_I(A)$ and $\bar{\rho}_I(A)$ are ideals (resp. sub Γ -near-rings) of M , then we call $\rho_I(A)$ rough ideal (resp. Γ -near-ring).

Note that a rough sub Γ -near-ring is also called a rough Γ -near-ring. Clearly every rough ideal is a rough Γ -near-ring but the converse need not be true in general.

Lemma 4.2.

- i) Let I, J be two ideals of M , then $\rho_I(I)$ and $\rho_I(J)$ are rough ideals.
- ii) Let I be an ideal and J a sub near-ring of M , then $\rho_I(J)$ is a rough near-ring.

Proof. From Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.14, (i) and (ii) are clear.

Remark 4.3. If I is not an ideal and J is an ideal (resp. sub near-ring) of M , then $\rho_I(J)$ is not a rough ideal (resp. rough Γ -near-ring) which is shown in the following example.

Example 4.4. Let $M = \{0, a, b, c, x, y\}$ and $\Gamma = \{0, a, b, c, x\}$ Define addition and Γ in M as follows.

+	0	a	b	c	x	y
0	0	a	b	c	x	y
a	a	0	y	x	c	b
b	b	x	0	y	a	c
c	c	x	y	0	b	a
x	x	b	c	a	y	0
y	y	c	a	b	0	x

Γ	0	a	b	c	x
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	a	a	a	a
b	a	a	b	c	b
c	a	a	c	b	c
x	0	0	x	y	x

Then $(M, +, \Gamma)$ is a Γ -near-ring.

Let $I = \{a, c\}, J = \{0, x, y\}$. Clearly, J is an ideal and I is not an ideal (sub Γ -near-ring). Since $0 + I = \{a, c\}, a + I = \{0, x\}, b + I = \{x, y\}, c + I = \{0, x\}, x + I = \{b, c\}$ and $y + I = \{a, c\}, \underline{\rho}_I(J) = \{a, b, c\} = \bar{\rho}_I(J)$. Thus both $\underline{\rho}_I(J)$ and $\bar{\rho}_I(J)$ are not ideals (sub near-rings) of M . Hence $\rho_I(J)$ is not a rough ideal (resp. rough Γ -near-ring).

Theorem 4.5. Let I, J be two ideals of M and K be a sub Γ -near-ring of M . Then

- (i) $\bar{\rho}_I(K) \Gamma \bar{\rho}_J(K) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K)$
(ii) $\underline{\rho}_I(K) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_J(K) = \underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K)$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in \bar{\rho}_I(K) \Gamma \bar{\rho}_J(K)$. Then $x = p\gamma q$ for some $p \in \bar{\rho}_I(K)$ and $q \in \bar{\rho}_J(K)$. This means that there exist $y \in (p + I) \cap K$ and $z \in (q + J) \cap K$ and so $y\gamma z \in K$ and $xy \in (p + I) \cdot (q + J)$. This implies $y\gamma z \in (p\gamma q) + I + J$. Thus $(p\gamma q + I + J) \cap K \neq \emptyset$, and $x \in \bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K)$. Hence

$$\bar{\rho}_I(K) \Gamma \bar{\rho}_J(K) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K).$$

(ii) Let $p\gamma q \in \underline{\rho}_I(K) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_J(K)$. then $p \in \underline{\rho}_I(K)$ and $q \in \underline{\rho}_J(K)$ and so $(p + I) \subseteq K$ and $(q + J) \subseteq K$. Now $(p + I)(q + J) \subseteq K$ and $(p\gamma q + I + J) \subseteq K$. This implies $p\gamma q \in \underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K)$.

On the other hand, since $I \subseteq I + J, J \subseteq I + J$, we have by Theorem 3.2(9). $\underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(K)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K) &\subseteq \underline{\rho}_J(K). \\ \underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K) &\subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(K) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_J(K). \end{aligned}$$

This means that $\underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(K) \Gamma \underline{\rho}_J(K)$.

Theorem 4.4. Let I, J be two ideals of M and K a sub near-ring of M . Then

- (i) $\underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K) = \underline{\rho}_I(K) + \underline{\rho}_J(K)$
(ii) $\bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K) = \bar{\rho}_I(K) + \bar{\rho}_J(K)$.

Proof. (i) Since $I \subseteq I + J$ and $J \subseteq I + J$, by Theorem 3.2(9) we have

$$\underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(K) \text{ and } \underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_J(K).$$

Thus $\underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_I(K) + \underline{\rho}_J(K)$.

Conversely assume that $k \in \underline{\rho}_I(K) + \underline{\rho}_J(K)$. Then $k = x + y$ for some $x \in \underline{\rho}_I(K)$ and $y \in \underline{\rho}_J(K)$.

This means that $x + I \subseteq K$ and $y + J \subseteq K$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} k + I + J &= x + y + I + J \\ &= x + y + I - y + y + J \\ &\subseteq x + I + y + J \\ &\subseteq K + K \subseteq K. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $k \in \underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K)$ and so $\underline{\rho}_I(K) + \underline{\rho}_J(K) \subseteq \underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K)$.

Thus $\underline{\rho}_I(K) + \underline{\rho}_J(K) = \underline{\rho}_{(I+J)}(K)$.

(ii) Since $I \subseteq I + J$ and $J \subseteq I + J$, by Theorem 3.2(9), we have

$$\bar{\rho}_I(K) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K) \text{ and } \bar{\rho}_J(K) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K).$$

Therefore $\bar{\rho}_I(K) + \bar{\rho}_J(K) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K)$.

Conversely assume that $y \in \bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K)$. Then $(y + (I + J)) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Now there exists $j \in J$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (y + (I + j)) \cap K &= (y + j - j + I + j) \cap K \\ &\subseteq (y + j + I) \cap K \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $y + j \in \bar{\rho}_I(K)$. Since $-j \in J$ and $(-j + J) \cap K = J \cap K \neq \emptyset$, being $0 \in J \cap K$, we have $-j \in \bar{\rho}_J(K)$.

Consider $y = y + j - j \in \bar{\rho}_I(K) + \bar{\rho}_J(K)$. We have $\bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K) \subseteq \bar{\rho}_I(K) + \bar{\rho}_J(K)$. Thus $\bar{\rho}_I(K) + \bar{\rho}_J(K) = \bar{\rho}_{I+J}(K)$.

5.CONCLUSION

The theory of Γ -near ring and theory of rough sets have many application in various fields. In this paper is to present the concepts of congruence relation in Γ -near-rings and the lower and upper approximations of an ideal with respect to the congruence relation and introduce the notion of rough ideals in Γ -near-rings, which is a generalization of the notion of near rings. Some properties of the lower and upper approximations are discussed in Γ -near-rings. The definitions and results are extended to rings.

REFERENCES

1. R. Biswas and S. Nanda, *Rough Groups And Rough Subgroups*, Bulietin polish Academy Science Mathematics 42(1994) 251-254.
2. Z. Bonikowaski, *Algebraic Structures Of Rough Sets*, In: W.P.Ziarko(Ed), *Rough Sets, Fuzzy Sets And Knowledge Discovery*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995,pp 242-247.
3. Z. Pawlak, *Rough Sets*, International Journal of Information and Computer Sciences, 11(5)(1982) 341-356. Rough Prime Ideals in Semiring.
4. Z. Pawlak and A. Skowron, *Rough Sets: Some Extensions*, Information Sciences, 177 (2007) 28-40.
5. Z. Pawlak ,*Rough sets -Theoretical aspects of reasoning about data*, Kluwer Academic publishing, Dordrecht, (1991).
6. G. Pilz, *Near-Rings*, Noth-Hotland, Amsterdam, (1983)
7. Bh. Satyanarayana , *Contribution To Near-Ring Teory Doctoral Thesis*, Nagarguna University, India, (1984).
8. V.S.Subha, *Rough k-ideals in Semirings*, International Journal of Research Publication & Seminar, Volume 05(01) March, 2014, 117-126.
9. V.S.Subha, *Rough Quasi-ideals in Regular Semirings*, International Journal of Research Publication & Seminar, Volume 06(01), February, 2015, 116-123.
10. N.Thillaigovindan and V.S.Subha , *Rough Set Concepts Applied To Ideals in Near-Rings*, Proceedings of Dynamic Systems and Applications, 6(2012), 418-421.