

Study of okra varieties against *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) under field condition of Gandhinagar, Gujarat

Madhavi Singh*, Bharat Maitreya

Department of Botany, Bioinformatics, Climate Change Impacts Management, University School of Sciences, Ahmedabad-380009, Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT

The Yellow Vein Mosaic Virus (YVMV) is a fatal virus that infects okra and is spread by the white fly (*Bemisia tabaci*). The disease has a negative impact on the quality and productivity of the fruit. As a result, attempts were made to screen 19 genotypes for *Bemisia tabaci* in okra, in this study. Nineteen genotypes of okra were cultivated in a field trial area of the Suraj crop science private Ltd, Gandhinagar, Gujarat during February-April 2019 to determine their responses to *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.). The results showed that SCOH-1020 and SCOH-1021 was moderately attacked by whitefly, while SCOH-1019 was not affected. Kasturi, Divya, Nirogi, Vaishnav, Anjali, KFOL-157, KFOL- 158, KFOL- 523 and KFOL- 524 were susceptible while Priyanka was highly susceptible to *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.).

KEYWORDS

Okra, Screening, Varieties, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.)

INTRODUCTION

Okra or Bhindi [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] is a Malvaceae family annual herbaceous plant with erect growth habit, with or without branches and bisexual bloom. It is one of the most widely grown vegetable crops in the world. Farmers grow a lot of okra during the rainy and summer seasons because there is a lot of consumer demand and hence a greater price. The Yellow Vein Mosaic Virus (YVMV) is a deadly virus spread by the white fly (*Bemisia tabaci*) in okra. The illness has a negative impact on fruit quality and productivity. Kulkarni (1924) was the first to report the sickness in India, in the Bombay province. Plants infected at 20, 35, and 50 days after germination have been observed to lose up to 98, 83, and 49% of their weight, respectively (Shastry and Singh, 1974). Chemical control of this disease is limited by frequent pickings, high operational costs, and pesticide residues entering the food chain. As a result, efforts were conducted in this work to screen 19 genotypes of okra for *Bemisia tabaci* in okra.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments were conducted during February-April 2019 at the Suraj Crop Science Private Ltd research field (23.35° N latitudes, 72.69° E longitudes). Nineteen genotypes of okra were collected from gene bank of Suraj crop (Table 1) and sown in Randomized Block Design with two replications at spacing of 60 cm, Plant to plant distance was 30 cm. Observations on incidence of whitefly intensity were recorded at 30 days interval on ten randomly selected plants of each genotype and the cumulative data were obtained. To assess the resistance of a given strain, symptom severity grades, designated with numerical values of 0 to 9 were given on the basis of visual observations, to quantify the disease severity, calculations were made (Singh and Singh, 2000). The per cent disease incidence (PDI) was calculated by the following formula:

$$\text{Per cent disease incidence} = \frac{\text{No. of plants infected} \times 100}{\text{Total no. of plants}}$$

The coefficient of infection (CI) was calculated by multiplying the per cent disease incidence to the response value assigned for each severity grade. Thus, the coefficient values combine the amount of infection and its severity.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

To find the resistance against the *Bemisia tabaci*, 19 varieties were screened in the field during February-April cropping months of 2019. The percentage disease incidence of okra yellow vein mosaic disease was calculated during the pre-flowering and post-flowering phases of the crop.

Table 1 shows the morphological characteristics of several okra types in terms of bloom colour, stem, pod, and leaf shape. Between okra types, there was no change in bloom colour or leaf shape. On the basis of stem and pod characteristics, the varieties were divided into three groups. There were three shades of green: pale green, dark green, and reddish green. The flower colour and leaf form found in our research (Table 1) were identical to Alam and Hossain's findings (2008). The leaves were arranged in a unique way. In one part, there was only one leaf. The leaves had palmate lines. The leaf's tip was pointed, and the rim was serrated (Rashwan, 2011). Almost all of the variants (10 out of 19) were classified as light or dark green, with the other two varieties classified as reddish green (Table 1). Under field conditions, the reaction of nineteen okra varieties to OYVMV was observed.

Table 1: Morphological characteristics of various varieties of okra plants

| Genotypes/varieties | Flower color | Stem color | Pod color | Leaf shape |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Priyanka | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| Hanshika | Light yellow | Radish green | Radish green | Palmate |
| Kasturi | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| Divya | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| Nirogi | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| Vaishnav | Light yellow | Dark green | Dark green | Palmate |
| Anjali | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| KFOL-157 | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| KFOL-158 | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| KFOL-523 | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| KFOL-524 | Light yellow | Radish green | Radish green | Palmate |
| SCOH-1011 | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| SCOH-1014 | Light yellow | Dark green | Dark green | Palmate |
| SCOH-1016 | Light yellow | Dark green | Dark green | Palmate |
| SCOH-1017 | Light yellow | Dark green | Dark green | Palmate |
| SCOH-1018 | Light yellow | Dark green | Dark green | Palmate |
| SCOH-1019 | Light yellow | Dark green | Dark green | Palmate |
| SCOH-1020 | Light yellow | Light green | Light green | Palmate |
| SCOH-1021 | Light yellow | Dark green | Dark green | Palmate |

Table 3 is showing the data of screening. SCOH-1021 had the highest fruit yield (225.41 q/ha), followed by SCOH-1019 (221.09 q/ha). The variety Priyanka produced the lowest fruit yield (80.71q/ha).

Table 3: Screening of okra varieties/genotypes against whitefly incidence (summer 2019)

| Sl. No. | Genotypes/varieties | Summer Pre-flowering incidence (%) | Mean incidence (%) | Reaction | Yield (q/ha) |
|---------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Priyanka | 94.05 | 74.69 | Highly susceptible | 80.71 |
| 2 | Hanshika | 73.23 | 52.17 | Highly susceptible | 125.44 |
| 3 | Kasturi | 72.64 | 47.62 | Susceptible | 155.56 |
| 4 | Divya | 71.81 | 46.76 | Susceptible | 162.21 |
| 5 | Nirogi | 76.64 | 48.46 | Susceptible | 166.64 |
| 6 | Vaishnav | 72.91 | 46.89 | Susceptible | 175.46 |
| 7 | Anjali | 73.64 | 48.53 | Susceptible | 129.07 |
| 8 | KFOL-157 | 70.92 | 46.26 | Susceptible | 145.97 |
| 9 | KFOL-158 | 77.73 | 49.31 | Susceptible | 120.41 |
| 10 | KFOL-523 | 72.92 | 47.32 | Susceptible | 145.92 |
| 11 | KFOL-524 | 75.07 | 49.42 | Susceptible | 140.34 |
| 12 | SCOH-1011 | 77.92 | 50.27 | Susceptible | 135.42 |
| 13 | SCOH-1014 | 76.69 | 49.03 | Susceptible | 139.49 |
| 14 | SCOH-1016 | 57.05 | 36.70 | Susceptible | 185.63 |
| 15 | SCOH-1017 | 57.26 | 36.87 | Susceptible | 186.74 |
| 16 | SCOH-1018 | 5.57 | 2.98 | Susceptible | 215.56 |
| 17 | SCOH-1019 | 1.78 | 0.99 | Resistance | 221.09 |
| 18 | SCOH-1020 | 5.94 | 3.23 | Moderately Resistance | 205.92 |
| 19 | SCOH-1021 | 1.85 | 1.03 | Moderately Resistance | 225.41 |

SCOH-1019 was the only cultivar that was shown to be resistant in summer season. Yellow vein mosaic virus was found in okra, according to Chaudhury et al. (1992). Disease incidence on hybrids ranged from 19.26 to 69.13 percent, while it ranged from 19.95 to 51.16 percent on parent plants. When Batra and Singh (2000) tested eight okra cultivars for OYVMV, they discovered that Okra No.6, LORM-1, VRO-3, and P-7 were disease-free, whereas VRO-4 had a minor sensitivity. In a field testing, Ali et al. (2005) found that Safal, Subz Pari, and Surkh Bhindi cultivars were resistant to OYVMV (3.36-24.40 percent). Other studies, such as Arora et al. (1992) and Gavkare et al. (2013), assessed 157 advanced germplasm and 7 cultivars/ hybrids of okra for two years and found that Punjab, Padmini, and EMS-8 remained free of OYVMV. Okra cultivars Punjab, Padmini, and Punjab-7 were discovered to be high yielding and resistant to OYVMV by Sharma et al. (1993).

It can be concluded that out of nineteen varieties of okra, SCOH-1020 and SCOH-1021 was moderately attacked by whitefly, while SCOH-1019 was not affected. Kasturi, Divya, Nirogi, Vaishnav, Anjali, KFOL-157, KFOL- 158, KFOL- 523 and KFOL- 524 were susceptible while Priyanka was highly susceptible to *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.).

REFERENCES

1. Alam, A. K. M. A. and Hossain, M. M. 2008. Variability of different growth contributing parameters of some okra (*Abelmoschus Esculentus* L.) accessions and their interrelation effects on yield. *J. Agriculture and Rural Development*. **6**: 25-35.
2. Ali, S., Khan, M. A., Habib, A., Rasheed, S. and Iftikhar, Y. 2005. Management of yellow vein mosaic disease of okra through pesticide/ bio-pesticide and suitable cultivars. *International J. Agriculture and Biology*. **7**: 145-7.
3. Arora, S. K., Dhanju, K. C. and Sharma, B. R. 1992. Resistance in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) genotypes to yellow vein mosaic virus. *Plant Disease Research*. **7**: 221-225.
4. Batra, V. K. and Singh, J. 2000. Screening of okra varieties to yellow vein mosaic virus under field conditions. *Vegetable Science*. **27**: 192- 193.

5. **Chaudhury, D., Vidyasagar, R., Jagmohan, K. and Kumar, J. 1992.** A note on the occurrence of yellow vein mosaic in intervarietal crosses of okra. *Himachal J. Agricultural Research*. **21**: 90-92.
6. **Gavkare, O., Kumar, S., Sharma, N. and Sharma, P. L. 2013.** Evaluation of some novel insecticides against myzus persicae (Sulzer). *The Bioscan*. **8(3)**: 1119-1121.
7. **Kulkarni, G. S. 1924.** Mosaic and other related disease of crops in the Bombay presidency. *Poona Agricultural College Magazine*, **16**:6-12.
8. **Lamont, W. 1999.** Okra a versatile vegetable crop. *Horticulture Technology*. **9**: 179-184.
9. **Rashwan, A. M. A. 2011.** Study of genotypic and phenotypic correlation for some agro economic traits in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). *Asian J. Crop Science*. **3**: 85-91.
10. **Sastry, K. S. M. and Singh, S. J. 1974.** Effect of yellow vein mosaic virus infection on growth and yield of okra crop. *Indian Phytopathology*. **27**: 294-297.
11. **Sharma, B. R., Arora, S. K., Dhanju, K. C. and Ghai, T. R. 1993.** Performance of okra cultivars in relation to yellow vein mosaic virus and yield. *Indian J. Virology*. **9**: 139-142.
12. **Singh, A. K. and Singh, K. P. 2000.** Screening for disease incidence of yellow vein mosaic virus in okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] treated with gamma rays and EMS. *Vegetable Science*. **27(1)**: 72-75.