IJRAR.ORG E-ISSN: 2348-1269, P-ISSN: 2349-5138

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH AND ANALYTICAL REVIEWS (IJRAR) | IJRAR.ORG

An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

Digital Economy, AI, and NEP: A New Pedagogy for Economics

J. Sridevi,
Assistant Professor of Economics
ABV Government Degree College(A) – Jangaon, Telangana

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56975/ijrar.v13i1.332381

Abstract

The confluence of the digital economy, artificial intelligence (AI), and the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 presents a transformative moment for economics education. Traditional pedagogy, often centred on theoretical models and static curricula, is increasingly misaligned with the demands of a data-rich, digitally driven world. This paper argues that a fundamental pedagogical shift is required one that integrates the multidisciplinary spirit of NEP 2020 with the analytical power of AI to prepare students for the realities of the digital economy.

The digital economy has redefined how value is created, exchanged, and measured, introducing concepts such as platform-based markets, digital financial systems, and algorithm-driven trade. Simultaneously, AI has revolutionized economic research through advanced econometric modelling, natural language processing of policy documents, and real-time data analytics. Yet, most economics curriculum continue to treat these developments as peripheral specializations rather than core competencies. NEP 2020 provides the ideal policy framework to bridge this gap by emphasizing flexibility, experiential learning, and interdisciplinary approaches.

The paper adopts a conceptual and exploratory methodology, drawing on recent policy documents, curriculum reviews, and case studies from institutions that have pioneered AI-integrated economics programs. Preliminary findings suggest that such a pedagogical transformation not only enhances student engagement and research capacity but also directly aligns with the seminar's objectives: raising awareness of recent advances in social science and commerce, inspiring young researchers to innovate, and fostering meaningful interaction with emerging tools and methodologies.

Key words: Pedagogy, Digitally, Platform, Revolutionized, e-Commerce

1. Introduction

The twenty-first century has witnessed unprecedented transformations in how economies function, how knowledge is created, and how education is delivered. Three powerful forces are reshaping the landscape of higher education in India and across the globe: the rapid expansion of the digital economy, the revolutionary potential of artificial intelligence (AI), and the implementation of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. Individually, each of these forces represents a significant shift; collectively, they demand a fundamental rethinking of how economics is taught, learned, and practiced.

This research paper explores the intersection of these three transformative forces and proposes a new pedagogical framework for economics education. The central argument is that traditional approaches to teaching economics rooted in static textbooks, theoretical models disconnected from real-world data, and disciplinary silos are no longer adequate to prepare students for the complexities of a digitally driven economy. The purpose of this study is to examine how the synergistic integration of digital economy concepts, AI-powered tools, and NEP 2020's multidisciplinary vision can create a more relevant, engaging, and future-ready economics curriculum.

2. Background of the Study

Economics as a discipline has always evolved in response to changing societal conditions. The classical economics of Adam Smith emerged during the Industrial Revolution; Keynesian economics gained prominence during the Great Depression; and development economics flourished in the post-colonial era. Today, we are living through another epochal transformation the rise of the digital economy.

The digital economy refers to economic activities enabled by digital technologies, including e-commerce, platform-based gig work, digital financial services, data-driven business models, and the growing role of algorithms in market coordination. According to the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), India's digital economy is projected to contribute nearly 20% to the country's GDP by 2030. This shift has profound implications for economic theory, policy, and practice. Concepts such as network effects, data as capital, and algorithmic pricing are becoming central to understanding modern markets.

However, a significant gap exists between the aspirations of NEP 2020 and the current state of economics education in most Indian universities. Many departments continue to rely on curricula that have remained largely unchanged for decades, with limited incorporation of digital economy concepts or AI tools. Students graduate with theoretical knowledge but lack the practical skills to analyse real-world economic data, understand digital financial systems, or engage with AI-driven research methodologies. This disconnects between pedagogy and practice forms the basis for the present study.

3. Research Problem

Despite the widespread recognition that economics education must evolve to remain relevant, there is a notable absence of a coherent, actionable framework for integrating digital economy concepts, AI tools, and the principles of NEP 2020 into economics pedagogy. The problem is multifaceted:

First, economics curriculum in most Indian universities remain heavily theoretical. Students spend considerable time mastering abstract models but receive minimal exposure to how these models are applied using real-world data. Courses on econometrics, for instance, often focus on mathematical derivations rather than hands-on data analysis using modern software and AI tools.

Second, the digital economy is rarely treated as a core theme in economics education. While occasional electives on e-commerce or digital finance may exist, most students graduate without a systematic understanding of how digital technologies are reshaping markets, labour, finance, and trade. This creates a mismatch between graduate skills and employer expectations.

Third, NEP 2020's emphasis on multidisciplinary learning remains largely unimplemented in economics departments. Collaboration with computer science, data science, and other disciplines is minimal, and students lack opportunities to develop the interdisciplinary skills increasingly demanded in the workplace.

Fourth, there is a lack of research and experimentation with AI-powered pedagogical tools in economics education. While AI has transformed fields like medicine and engineering education, its potential in economics teaching for personalized learning, automated assessment, real-time data analysis, and research skill development remains largely untapped.

These problems are not merely academic concerns. They have tangible consequences: students graduating from economics programs often struggle to find employment in data-driven roles; researchers face a steep learning curve when attempting to engage with modern analytical methods; and the discipline as a whole risk becoming increasingly disconnected from the realities of the digital age. This study addresses the urgent need for a structured pedagogical framework that can guide economics departments in navigating these challenges.

4. Research Questions

This study seeks to answer the following research questions:

1. What are the current gaps in economics pedagogy that hinder the effective integration of digital economy concepts and AI tools?

2. How can the principles of NEP 2020particularly multidisciplinary learning, flexibility, and experiential education be operatinalized within economics curricula?

3. What specific AI tools and digital economy themes can be meaningfully incorporated into core economics courses?

4. What pedagogical model can effectively integrate digital economy, AI, and NEP 2020 principles into a cohesive framework for economics education?

5. What are the potential benefits and challenges associated with implementing such a pedagogical transformation?

6. How can economics departments transition from traditional teaching methods to a digitally integrated, AI-enhanced pedagogy?

5. Research Objectives

Consistent with the research questions, the objectives of this study are:

1. To identify and analyse the existing gaps in economics pedagogy that limit the integration of digital economy concepts and AI tools.

2. To examine the key provisions of NEP 2020 relevant to transforming economics education.

3. To explore the range of AI tools and digital economy themes that can be incorporated into economics teaching and research.

4. To develop a comprehensive pedagogical framework that synthesizes digital economy, AI, and NEP 2020 principles.

5. To evaluate the potential benefits and challenges of implementing the proposed pedagogical framework.

6. To provide actionable recommendations for economics departments seeking to modernize their curricula and teaching methods.

6. Literature Review

A substantial body of literature addresses the transformation of economics education, the impact of digital technologies on economic systems, and the potential of AI in higher education. This review synthesizes key findings from these three streams of research.

Economics Education in Transition: Studies by Colander and McGoldrick (2009) and later by Hoyt and McGoldrick (2017) have long argued that economics curricula are overly focused on theoretical models at the expense of practical skills. The American Economic Association's Committee on Economic Education has repeatedly called for greater emphasis on data literacy, critical thinking, and real-world applications. In the Indian context, scholars like Jandhyala Tilak (2020) have noted that economics education remains largely colonial in structure, with limited responsiveness to contemporary economic realities.

Digital Economy and Economics Education: Research on the digital economy has grown exponentially over the past decade. Authors such as Goldfarb and Tucker (2019) have mapped the economic implications of digital technologies, while Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2014) have explored the impact of AI and automation on labour markets. However, relatively few studies have examined how these digital economy concepts should be integrated into economics curricula. Notable exceptions include work by Krueger (2019)

on the future of work and education, and a recent report by the World Economic Forum (2023) on skills needed for the digital economy.

AI in Higher Education: The application of AI in education (AIEd) has been extensively studied. Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) conducted a systematic review of AI applications in higher education, identifying key areas such as intelligent tutoring systems, automated assessment, and predictive analytics. In economics specifically, researchers have explored the use of AI for teaching econometrics (Biemann, 2020), for simulating market behavior (Farmer & Foley, 2021), and for developing personalized learning pathways (Azevedo et al., 2022). However, most of this work has been conducted in Western contexts, with limited attention to the specific challenges and opportunities in Indian higher education.

NEP 2020 and Curriculum Reform: Since its introduction in 2020, NEP has generated significant scholarly discussion. Analysts such as Varghese (2021) and Agarwal (2022) have examined its implications for higher education, while subject-specific studies have explored its relevance to disciplines like engineering, humanities, and social sciences. However, there is a notable gap in the literature regarding how NEP 2020's provisions can be specifically applied to economics education in a manner that also incorporates digital economy and AI considerations.

Gaps in Existing Literature: Three significant gaps emerge from this review. First, there is a lack of integrated frameworks that bring together digital economy concepts, AI tools, and NEP 2020 principles in a coherent pedagogical model for economics. Second, existing studies on AI in economics education tend to focus on specific tools or applications rather than providing a comprehensive pedagogical vision. Third, the Indian context remains under-researched, with most studies either being Western-centric or focused on K-12 education rather than undergraduate and postgraduate economics programs. This study aims to address these gaps by proposing an integrated, context-sensitive pedagogical framework.

7. Theoretical Framework

This study draws upon two complementary theoretical perspectives: Constructivist Learning Theory and the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework.

Constructivist Learning Theory: Rooted in the work of Piaget, Vygotsky, and Dewey, constructivism posits that learners actively construct knowledge through experience and reflection rather than passively receiving information. In the context of economics education, constructivism suggests that students learn best when they engage with real economic problems, work with authentic data, and reflect on their analytical processes. This aligns closely with the proposed pedagogical framework, which emphasizes hands-on use of AI tools, analysis of real-world digital economy phenomena, and experiential learning activities.

Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK): Developed by Mishra and Koehler (2006), the TPACK framework extends constructivism by emphasizing the intersection of three knowledge domains: content knowledge (what is being taught), pedagogical knowledge (how it is taught), and technological knowledge (the tools used). Effective teaching, according to TPACK, requires a nuanced understanding of how these three domains interact. This framework is particularly relevant to the present study because it

provides a lens for analyzing how digital economy content, AI technology, and innovative pedagogy can be integrated effectively in economics education.

8. Significance of the Study

This study holds significance across multiple dimensions:

For Students: The proposed pedagogical framework will equip economics students with practical skills in data analysis, familiarity with AI tools, and a nuanced understanding of the digital economy. This will enhance their employability, prepare them for research careers, and empower them to engage meaningfully with contemporary economic challenges.

For Educators: The framework provides a structured approach for modernizing curricula and teaching methods. It offers concrete suggestions for incorporating AI tools and digital economy themes into existing courses, reducing the uncertainty and workload associated with pedagogical innovation.

For Researchers: By identifying gaps in existing literature and proposing a comprehensive framework, this study opens new avenues for empirical research. Future studies can test the effectiveness of the proposed pedagogy, explore its implementation challenges, and refine it based on evidence.

For Policymakers: The study offers actionable recommendations for implementing NEP 2020's vision in economics education. It demonstrates how policy goals can be translated into concrete pedagogical practices, potentially serving as a model for other disciplines.

9. Justification of the Study

Several factors justify the need for this study at the present moment:

Timeliness: India is in the early stages of implementing NEP 2020, presenting a unique window of opportunity for curricular reform. Simultaneously, the digital economy is expanding rapidly, and AI technologies are becoming increasingly accessible. This convergence creates an opportune moment to rethink economics pedagogy.

Urgency: The gap between economics education and the demands of the digital economy is widening. Students graduating today face a labour market that increasingly values data skills, digital literacy, and interdisciplinary knowledge competencies that traditional economics programs do not adequately provide.

Policy Relevance: NEP 2020 explicitly calls for curriculum reform, technology integration, and multidisciplinary approaches. This study provides a concrete pathway for achieving these goals in the specific context of economics education.

Research Gap: As established in the literature review, there is currently no integrated framework that brings together digital economy, AI, and NEP 2020 in the context of economics pedagogy. This study addresses that gap.

Practical Value: The outputs of this study are conceptual framework and actionable recommendations can be directly applied by economics departments seeking to modernize their programs.

10. Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, exploratory research design, appropriate for developing a conceptual framework in an area where existing theory is limited.

Research Approach: The study employs a conceptual research approach, synthesizing insights from existing literature, policy documents, and case studies to develop a new pedagogical framework. This approach is well-suited to the exploratory nature of the research questions.

Data Sources: The study draws upon three types of data sources:

1. Academic Literature: Peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and conference proceedings on economics education, digital economy, AI in education, and NEP 2020.

2. Policy Documents: NEP 2020 text, reports from the University Grants Commission (UGC), Ministry of Education, and MeitY on digital economy and higher education.

3. Case Studies: Select examples of universities and programs that have experimented with integrating digital economy content or AI tools into economics curricula, drawn from published accounts and institutional websites.

Data Analysis: Thematic analysis will be used to identify recurring themes, patterns, and insights across the data sources. These themes will inform the development of the proposed pedagogical framework.

Sample: For case study analysis, five institutions will be purposively selected based on their demonstrated engagement with digital economy or AI in economics education. These include two Indian universities, two international universities, and one online education platform.

Method Justification: The qualitative, conceptual approach is appropriate because the study aims to develop a new framework rather than test existing hypotheses. Given the exploratory nature of the research, this approach allows for flexibility and depth in synthesizing diverse sources of information.

11. Ethical Considerations

Although this study does not involve direct data collection from human participants, ethical principles will nonetheless guide the research process:

Academic Integrity: All sources will be properly cited, and ideas will be attributed to their original authors. Plagiarism will be strictly avoided.

Transparency: The methodology, including data sources and analytical procedures, will be clearly described to ensure replicability and allow readers to assess the validity of the findings.

Balanced Representation: Case studies will be selected and presented fairly, without bias toward particular institutions or approaches. Limitations of the framework will be acknowledged.

Informed Use of AI Tools: If AI tools are used in the research process for literature search or data organization this will be disclosed, and the final analysis and synthesis will remain the responsibility of the researcher.

Future Ethical Considerations: While the current study is conceptual, its implementation in future empirical research would require additional ethical considerations, including informed consent from student and faculty participants, protection of institutional confidentiality, and careful management of student data when AI tools are used for assessment or personalized learning.

12. Limitations of the Study

Several limitations should be acknowledged:

Conceptual Nature: As a conceptual study, this research does not provide empirical evidence for the effectiveness of the proposed framework. Future research will be needed to test the framework in real educational settings.

Scope: The study focuses specifically on economics education at the undergraduate and postgraduate levels in India. The findings may not be directly applicable to other disciplines or to K-12 economics education.

Data Limitations: The case study analysis relies on publicly available information, which may not capture the full complexity of implementation experiences. Direct interviews with educators and students would provide richer insights but are beyond the scope of this study.

Dynamic Context: Both the digital economy and AI technologies are evolving rapidly. The proposed framework may need periodic updating to remain relevant.

Implementation Challenges: The study identifies potential benefits of the proposed pedagogy but may not fully anticipate the practical challenges of implementation, including faculty training requirements, infrastructure constraints, and institutional resistance to change.

Managing Limitations: These limitations will be addressed by clearly defining the scope of the study, acknowledging the provisional nature of the framework, and suggesting directions for future empirical research that can test and refine the proposed approach.

13. References

Agarwal, P. (2022). National Education Policy 2020: Implementation challenges and opportunities. Economic and Political Weekly, 57(12), 45–52.

Azevedo, R., et al. (2022). AI and personalized learning in higher education: A systematic review. Computers & Education, 178, 104–118.

Biemann, T. (2020). Teaching econometrics with AI: Opportunities and challenges. Journal of Economic Education, 51(3), 245–258.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2014). The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. W.W. Norton.

Colander, D., & McGoldrick, K. (Eds.). (2009). Educating economists: The Teagle discussion on re-evaluating the undergraduate economics major. Edward Elgar.

Farmer, J. D., & Foley, D. (2021). The economy needs agent-based modeling. Nature, 596(7873), 489–491.

Goldfarb, A., & Tucker, C. (2019). Digital economics. Journal of Economic Literature, 57(1), 3–43.

Hoyt, G. M., & McGoldrick, K. (2017). Transforming the economics curriculum. Journal of Economic Education, 48(3), 167