



Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence in Fintech: A Qualitative Study on Trust and Transparency

Ishika Khanduja

Manav Rachna University

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the fintech sector has led to significant advancements in efficiency, personalization, and data-driven decision-making. However, these innovations raise important ethical concerns, particularly in the areas of bias, transparency, and fairness. This paper explores the ethical implications of AI in fintech, focusing on the critical role of trust and transparency in fostering responsible innovation. Through a qualitative analysis, the study examines key challenges, including algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the need for transparency in AI-driven decision-making processes. It highlights the importance of ethical AI practices in mitigating risks such as discrimination and exclusion, particularly in areas like credit scoring and loan approvals. The paper also emphasizes the necessity of developing fairness-aware algorithms, diverse training datasets, and robust ethical frameworks to ensure the responsible deployment of AI in fintech. The findings underscore the need for collaboration among policymakers, fintech companies, and regulators to establish clear guidelines and regulations for ethical AI usage. Ultimately, the paper concludes that fostering trust and accountability is essential for the sustainable and equitable growth of AI in fintech.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Fintech, Ethical Implications, Trust and Transparency

Introduction:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a groundbreaking force in the modern era, driving innovation across diverse industries, with the financial technology (fintech) sector being one of its most significant beneficiaries. The integration of AI technologies, such as machine learning, natural language processing, computer vision, and predictive analytics, has enabled fintech to transcend traditional boundaries and revolutionize the delivery and accessibility of financial services. AI has not only improved efficiency but also enhanced the precision and personalization of financial products, fundamentally transforming the way businesses and individuals interact with financial systems.

In fintech, AI-powered tools and algorithms are being deployed across a variety of applications. Fraud detection systems now leverage machine learning to identify anomalous patterns in real-time, significantly reducing the risk of financial crime. Risk assessment models use AI to analyze vast datasets with unprecedented accuracy, improving decision-making in areas like lending and insurance. Personalized financial management platforms employ AI-driven insights to offer tailored advice to consumers, empowering them to make informed financial decisions. Moreover, AI has revolutionized automated trading systems by enabling them to process market data and execute trades at speeds far beyond human capabilities.

One of the most transformative impacts of AI in fintech has been its role in fostering financial inclusion. By analyzing non-traditional credit data, AI has enabled the extension of credit and financial services to previously underserved populations, such as those in developing regions or with limited credit history.

Chatbots and virtual assistants powered by AI have enhanced customer service by providing instant, around-the-clock support, further democratizing access to essential financial tools.

However, the integration of AI in fintech is not without its challenges. Concerns related to data privacy, algorithmic bias, regulatory compliance, and the ethical use of AI are critical areas that require attention. Ensuring transparency and accountability in AI-driven decision-making processes is essential for building trust among users and regulators alike.

As fintech continues to evolve, the convergence of AI and financial services is poised to redefine the global financial landscape. From streamlining operations and enhancing customer experiences to driving financial innovation and inclusion, AI's potential in fintech is immense. This paper seeks to explore the multifaceted role of AI in the fintech ecosystem, analyzing its current applications, the challenges it faces, and the opportunities it holds for the future.

Importance of trust and transparency in ethical AI usage.

As Artificial Intelligence (AI) becomes increasingly integrated into decision-making processes across industries, trust and transparency emerge as critical pillars for ensuring ethical AI usage. Trust in AI systems is essential for their widespread adoption, particularly in sensitive domains like healthcare, finance, education, and law enforcement, where decisions can significantly impact individuals and communities. Without trust, stakeholders—including users, regulators, and organizations—may resist AI implementations, hindering technological progress and its potential benefits.

Transparency, in this context, refers to the ability of AI systems to provide clear, comprehensible, and accessible explanations for their decisions and actions. It ensures that AI operates in a manner that is understandable and accountable to its users. Transparency fosters trust by allowing stakeholders to verify that AI systems are functioning as intended and are free from hidden biases or unethical practices. For instance, in credit scoring or hiring processes, transparency helps stakeholders understand how decisions are made, thereby reducing skepticism and promoting fairness. Trust and Transparency is important because:

-Mitigating Bias and Discrimination: AI systems are often trained on large datasets, which can inadvertently include biases present in historical data. Without transparency, these biases can perpetuate systemic discrimination. Trust in AI depends on organizations taking proactive steps to identify, disclose, and mitigate these biases.

-Accountability: Transparent systems allow for accountability when errors occur or when decisions lead to unintended consequences. This is particularly important in critical applications such as healthcare diagnoses or legal judgments.

-Regulatory Compliance: With increasing global emphasis on data protection and AI ethics, such as the European Union's AI Act, transparency ensures adherence to regulatory standards. This builds trust with regulators and minimizes the risk of legal repercussions.

-Building Public Confidence: Users are more likely to adopt and engage with AI technologies when they understand how the systems work and trust that they are being used ethically. Transparency reduces fear and skepticism by demystifying AI processes.

-Facilitating Collaboration: In fields where AI decisions are integrated into human workflows, transparency enables effective collaboration between humans and AI. For example, in healthcare, a clear explanation of an AI diagnostic tool's findings allows physicians to make informed decisions.

To ensure trust and transparency in AI usage, organizations can adopt several key measures. First, they can prioritize *explainability* by developing AI models that provide human-readable explanations for their outputs, making the decision-making process more understandable. *Bias audits* should be conducted regularly to evaluate datasets and algorithms, identifying and correcting any biases that could lead to unfair outcomes. Clear and open *communication* with users is essential, informing them about the data being used, how it is processed, and the purpose of the AI system. Additionally, *robust testing* should be implemented to ensure AI systems perform reliably and ethically across diverse scenarios and populations. Lastly, organizations should support *open standards*, adopting and promoting industry-wide guidelines for ethical AI practices, fostering

consistency, and ensuring accountability in AI deployment. These measures collectively build confidence in AI systems, helping to ensure they operate fairly, transparently, and responsibly.

The ethical use of AI is not just a technical challenge but a social imperative. Building trust and ensuring transparency are vital to harnessing the full potential of AI while safeguarding the rights and values of individuals and communities. These principles are not optional but foundational for creating AI systems that are fair, accountable, and beneficial to all.

Literature Review

The fintech industry has undergone remarkable advancements in recent years, driven by digital transformation and the adoption of big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and cloud computing (Lacity and Willcocks, 2016). These developments have enabled banks and financial institutions to deliver more convenient and adaptable services to their customers through financial technology (fintech) (Malaquias and Hwang, 2019). By utilizing mobile devices and other technological platforms, fintech empowers customers to easily access their bank accounts, receive real-time transaction alerts, and perform a variety of financial activities (Stewart and Jürjens, 2018b).

A major factor driving the adoption of AI in the fintech sector is its capacity to process vast datasets and generate valuable insights to support decision-making (Danielsson et al., 2022). Through the integration of AI and big data analytics, fintech companies can provide personalized financial services, improve operational efficiency, and reduce costs, thereby gaining a competitive advantage in the market (Peek et al., 2014; Mars and Gouider, 2017). However, the implementation of AI and big data in fintech also raises important ethical and privacy concerns (Matzner, 2014; Yang et al., 2022).

The convergence of big data, AI, and privacy in fintech has sparked discussions about the importance of addressing ethical issues, including bias, discrimination, privacy, transparency, justice, data ownership, and control (Saltz and Dewar, 2019). Ensuring fairness in AI-driven decision-making processes is essential, as biased or incomplete data can lead to unjust or discriminatory outcomes that impact individuals significantly (Danielsson et al., 2022). Transparency in data collection, processing, and analysis is equally critical for maintaining customer trust and credibility (Vannucci and Pantano, 2020). Furthermore, protecting personal data and complying with data-protection laws and regulations are vital ethical responsibilities for fintech companies (La Torre et al., 2019).

Another key area of focus is the complex relationship between fintech and customer trust. Trust is a cornerstone for the adoption of fintech services, particularly concerning data security and privacy (Stewart and Jürjens, 2018b). Vulnerabilities in online banking and incidents of data breaches have heightened customer concerns, leading to increased caution in engaging with financial transactions on fintech platforms (Swammy et al., 2018). Addressing these concerns through robust data security measures and ensuring privacy is critical to building customer trust and fostering broader adoption of fintech services (Laksamana et al., 2022).

Ethical Considerations in Fintech: The Intersection of Big Data, AI, and Privacy

The rise of digitalization, fueled by technological advancements such as big data analytics, cloud computing, mobile technologies, and integrated sensor networks, has significantly transformed organizational operations across economic sectors (Lacity and Willcocks, 2016). With the increasing adoption of internet and e-commerce platforms, banks now offer customers more efficient, convenient, and adaptable services (Malaquias and Hwang, 2019). This shift has paved the way for the widespread use of financial technology (fintech), enabling users to access banking services through mobile devices and other digital platforms. These services include accessing bank accounts, receiving transaction updates, and managing debit and credit alerts via app notifications, SMS, or other channels. Fintech platforms also incorporate advanced features such as multi-banking, blockchain technology, fund transfers, robo-advisors, and concierge services, covering everything from payment solutions to wealth management (Stewart and Jürjens, 2018b).

To enhance operational efficiency, deliver personalized customer experiences, and reduce costs, fintech companies have increasingly integrated artificial intelligence (AI) into their systems. AI, which mimics cognitive processes typically associated with human intelligence, facilitates the rapid processing of vast

datasets generated from diverse sources such as social media, online transactions, and mobile applications (Daníelsson et al., 2022). Using advanced algorithms, AI systems analyze large volumes of data to identify patterns and “learn,” enabling them to make autonomous decisions or recommendations. By combining AI with big data analytics, fintech companies gain valuable insights that support more informed decision-making processes.

Big data, characterized by its volume, velocity, and variety, refers to massive datasets collected from numerous sources in multiple formats, often exceeding the capabilities of traditional data-management methods (Peek et al., 2014; Mars and Gouider, 2017). In the financial industry, big data has become an essential resource, providing detailed records of individual and enterprise customer activities (Erraissi and Belangour, 2018). The integration of AI and big data has allowed fintech companies to deliver highly personalized financial services, improve operational efficiency, and lower operational costs, thereby strengthening their competitive position in the market.

However, this reliance on AI and big data has also raised critical ethical and privacy concerns (Castellanos Pfeiffer, 2019; Gong et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2022). Issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the potential for misuse of sensitive information have sparked debates about the responsible use of these technologies. As fintech continues to evolve, addressing these ethical considerations will be crucial to maintaining customer trust and ensuring sustainable growth in the industry.

Ethical Challenges in Fintech AI Adoption

The adoption of AI in fintech brings numerous advantages, such as improved efficiency, enhanced customer experiences, and cost reductions. However, it also introduces significant ethical challenges that demand careful consideration. One prominent concern is **bias and discrimination in AI algorithms**, which can result in unfair outcomes. For example, biased credit scoring and loan approval processes have disproportionately affected certain demographic groups due to systemic biases embedded in training datasets. These biases often stem from historical inequities, incomplete data, or unrepresentative samples. Addressing such issues requires rigorous audits of datasets and algorithmic fairness, as well as implementing strategies to identify and mitigate hidden biases during the model development process.

Privacy and data security is another critical challenge in fintech’s AI adoption. The extensive use of sensitive customer information, including financial transactions, social behaviors, and personal data, poses significant risks if mishandled. Unauthorized access to this data or potential breaches can lead to identity theft, financial fraud, and erosion of customer trust. Additionally, the misuse of such data for purposes beyond the original consent—such as targeted marketing—raises ethical concerns. Fintech companies must ensure robust encryption, secure storage, and adherence to data privacy regulations like GDPR to protect customer information. Transparency in how data is collected, stored, and used is equally important for fostering customer confidence.

The issue of **accountability and decision transparency** adds another layer of complexity. Many AI systems operate as “black boxes,” where the logic behind their decisions is difficult to understand or explain. This lack of clarity can be problematic when customers or regulators seek explanations for outcomes such as loan rejections or fraudulent activity detection. Furthermore, questions about liability arise when AI systems make mistakes or lead to unethical outcomes. Fintech organizations must implement explainable AI frameworks and establish accountability mechanisms to address these concerns, ensuring that customers and regulators can trust their systems.

Regulatory and compliance challenges also loom large in the fintech sector, as organizations must navigate the complex and evolving landscape of local and international AI regulations. Many existing legal frameworks fail to address the nuances of AI ethics, leaving gaps in areas like accountability and data privacy. Finally, the profit-driven nature of fintech business models raises ethical dilemmas, particularly in balancing revenue goals with responsible AI practices. The potential misuse of AI to exploit customers through predatory lending or aggressive marketing strategies underscores the need for ethical oversight. Addressing these challenges requires a collaborative approach involving regulators, fintech companies, and AI developers to create a more transparent and equitable fintech ecosystem.

Key Applications of AI in Fintech

Fraud Detection and Prevention: AI plays a crucial role in detecting and preventing fraud in real-time by analyzing transaction patterns, identifying anomalies, and flagging suspicious activities. Machine learning algorithms continuously improve their ability to detect new fraud schemes, helping reduce financial losses.

Automated Customer Service (Chatbots and Virtual Assistants): AI-powered chatbots and virtual assistants enhance customer service by providing 24/7 support for inquiries, transactions, and problem resolution. They use natural language processing (NLP) to understand and respond to customer requests, improving response times and overall user satisfaction.

Risk Assessment and Credit Scoring: AI models are used to assess the creditworthiness of individuals and businesses by analyzing a wide range of financial data, including non-traditional data sources. Machine learning algorithms improve the accuracy of credit scoring models, leading to better decision-making in lending.

Investment Strategies and Robo-Advisors: Robo-advisors, powered by AI, offer automated, algorithm-driven financial planning services. These platforms analyze large amounts of financial data to recommend personalized investment strategies, ensuring optimal returns for customers while minimizing risks.

Benefits of AI in Fintech

Increased Efficiency and Cost Reduction: By automating routine tasks, AI reduces the need for human intervention, leading to greater operational efficiency and lower costs. AI systems can process large volumes of data quickly, eliminating manual efforts and enabling faster decision-making.

Enhanced User Experience through Personalization: AI analyzes customer data to deliver personalized recommendations, products, and services. Personalized experiences—such as tailored investment portfolios, financial products, or customer support—boost customer loyalty and satisfaction.

Improved Decision-Making through Data Analytics: AI enables fintech firms to analyze vast amounts of data to make better, data-driven decisions. With machine learning algorithms, businesses can gain deeper insights into market trends, customer behaviors, and risk factors, resulting in smarter strategies.

Trends Driving AI Adoption in Fintech

Advances in Machine Learning and Big Data: Continuous advancements in machine learning and the growing availability of big data are accelerating the adoption of AI in fintech. As machine learning algorithms become more sophisticated and data volumes grow, fintech companies can leverage AI for more accurate predictions and smarter decision-making.

Increasing Competition and Demand for Innovative Solutions: The fintech industry is becoming more competitive, with traditional financial institutions and new startups vying for market share. This competitive pressure drives the demand for innovative, AI-driven solutions that enhance efficiency, improve customer experiences, and reduce operational costs.

Future Implications

The ethical implications of AI in fintech are set to evolve with ongoing technological advancements and regulatory changes. Future research will likely focus on the development of global AI governance frameworks and the creation of explainable AI systems to enhance transparency and consumer trust. As AI integrates with emerging technologies like blockchain and IoT, new ethical concerns and opportunities will arise, necessitating further exploration. There will also be a need for specialized AI ethics education to equip the workforce with the necessary knowledge for ethical decision-making. Additionally, the future will see a focus on privacy-preserving AI techniques and the societal impact of AI-driven financial services, particularly in terms of consumer trust, data privacy, and wealth inequality. These areas highlight the need for continued innovation and research to ensure AI systems in fintech are both effective and ethically responsible.

Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence in fintech has transformed the financial services landscape, offering efficiency, personalization, and data-driven decision-making. However, this growth comes with significant ethical challenges, especially in the areas of bias and transparency. This study explored these issues, emphasizing the critical role of ethical AI practices in building trust and fostering responsible innovation.

One of the most pressing concerns is the presence of bias in AI systems, which often stems from historical inequities and non-representative training data. This bias can lead to discriminatory practices, particularly in areas such as credit scoring, loan approvals, and risk assessments, thereby perpetuating financial exclusion and inequality. Addressing this issue is crucial for ensuring that AI systems are fair and equitable. This requires the development and implementation of fairness-aware algorithms, the use of diverse and representative training datasets, and regular audits of AI models to identify and rectify bias. Additionally, transparency in AI decision-making processes is essential to foster accountability and ensure that consumers and regulators can trust AI-driven systems.

Also, as AI continues to evolve, there is a need for a robust ethical framework that governs the deployment of AI in fintech, ensuring that privacy, security, and consumer rights are protected. Policymakers and industry leaders must collaborate to create guidelines and regulations that ensure ethical AI practices are adopted, and that AI systems are regularly monitored for compliance with these standards. This study concludes that fostering a culture of ethical responsibility in AI development and deployment will be pivotal in achieving a sustainable, inclusive, and transparent fintech ecosystem.

References

- Abed, Ali Kamil, and Angesh Anupam. 2022. Review of security issues in Internet of Things and artificial intelligence-driven solutions. *Security and Privacy* 6: e285.
- Abidin, Mohd Aizuddin Zainal, Anuar Nawawi, and Ahmad Saiful Azlin Puteh Salin. 2019. Customer data security and theft: A Malaysian organization's experience. *Information and Computer Security* 27: 81–100.
- Ashta, Arvind, and Heinz Herrmann. 2021. Artificial intelligence and fintech: An overview of opportunities and risks for banking, investments, and microfinance. *Strategic Change* 30: 211–22.
- Ayaburi, Emmanuel W. 2022. Understanding online information disclosure: Examination of data breach victimization experience effect. *Information Technology and People* 36: 95–114.
- Baumgartner, Kim T., Carolin A. Ernst, and Thomas M. Fischer. 2022. How Corporate Reputation Disclosures Affect Stakeholders' Behavioral Intentions: Mediating Mechanisms of Perceived Organizational Performance and Corporate Reputation. *Journal of Business Ethics* 175: 361–89.
- Beg, Saira, Saif Ur Rehman Khan, and Adeel Anjum. 2022. Data usage-based privacy and security issues in mobile app recommendation (MAR): A systematic literature review. *Library Hi Tech* 40: 725–49.
- Butaru, Florentin, Qingqing Chen, Brian Clark, Sanmay Das, Andrew W. Lo, and Akhtar Siddique. 2016. Risk and risk management in the credit card industry. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 72: 218–39.
- Castellanos Pfeiffer, Roberto Augusto. 2019. Digital economy, big data and competition law. *Market & Competition Law Review* 3: 53.
- Danielsson, Jón, Robert Macrae, and Andreas Uthemann. 2022. Artificial intelligence and systemic risk. *Journal of Banking and Finance* 140: 106290.
- Dixon-Woods, Mary. 2011. The role of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *Medical Education* 45: 332–38.
- Erraissi, Allae, and Abdessamad Belangour. 2018. Data sources and ingestion big data layers: Meta-modeling of key concepts and features. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology* 7: 3607–12.

Fu, Jonathan, and Mrinal Mishra. 2022. Fintech in the time of COVID-19: Technological adoption during crises. *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 50: 100945.

George, A. Shaji, and A. S. Hovan George. 2023. A review of ChatGPT AI's impact on several business sectors. *Partners Universal International Innovation Journal* 1: 9–23.

Goldstein, Itay, Wei Jiang, and G. Andrew Karolyi. 2019. To FinTech and beyond. *The Review of Financial Studies* 32: 1647–61.

Gong, Xiang, Kem ZK Zhang, Chongyang Chen, Christy M. K. Cheung, and Matthew K. O. Lee. 2020. What drives trust transfer from web to mobile payment services? The dual effects of perceived entitativity. *Information & Management* 57: 103250.

Herden, Christina J., Ervin Alliu, André Cakici, Thibaut Cormier, Catherine Deguelle, Sahil Gambhir, Caleb Griffiths, Shrishti Gupta, Sahil R. Kamani, Yonca-Selda Kiratli, et al. 2021. Corporate Digital Responsibility. *Sustainability Management Forum-NachhaltigkeitsManagementForum* 29: 13–29.

Hermansyah, Muhammad, Ainun Najib, Any Farida, Rian Sacipto, and Bagus Setya Rintyarna. 2023. Artificial Intelligence and Ethics: Building an Artificial Intelligence System that Ensures Privacy and Social Justice. *International Journal of Science and Society* 5: 154–68.

Jelovac, Dejan, Cedomir Ljubojević, and Ljubomir Ljubojević. 2021. HPC in business: The impact of corporate digital responsibility on building digital trust and responsible corporate digital governance. *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance* 24: 485–97.

La Torre, Matteo, Vida Lucia Botes, John Dumay, and Elza Odendaal. 2019. Protecting a new Achilles heel: The role of auditors within the practice of data protection. *Managerial Auditing Journal* 36: 218–39.

Lacity, Mary C., and Leslie P. Willcocks. 2016. A new approach to automating services. *MIT Sloan Management Review* 58: 41–49.

Laksamana, Patria, Suharyanto Suharyanto, and Yohanes Ferry Cahaya. 2022. Determining factors of continuance intention in mobile payment: Fintech industry perspective. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*.

Li, Jin, Ziwei Ye, and Caiming Zhang. 2022. Study on the interaction between big data and artificial intelligence. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science* 39: 641–48.

Liyanaarachchi, Gajendra, Sameer Deshpande, and Scott Weaven. 2020. Market-oriented corporate digital responsibility to manage data vulnerability in online banking. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 39: 571–91.

Liyanaarachchi, Gajendra, Sameer Deshpande, and Scott Weaven. 2021. Online banking and privacy: Redesigning sales strategy through social exchange. *International Journal of Bank Marketing* 39: 955–83.

Lobschat, Lara, Benjamin Mueller, Felix Eggers, Laura Brandimarte, Sarah Diefenbach, Mirja Kroschke, and Jochen Wirtz. 2021. Corporate digital responsibility. *Journal of Business Research* 122: 875–88.

Lokanan, Mark E. 2014. How senior managers perpetuate accounting fraud? Lessons for fraud examiners from an instructional case. *Journal of Financial Crime* 21: 411–23.

Malaquias, Rodrigo F., and Yujong Hwang. 2019. Mobile banking use: A comparative study with Brazilian and US participants. *International Journal of Information Management* 44: 132–40.

Mars, Ammar, and Mohamed Salah Gouider. 2017. Big data analysis to features opinions extraction of customer. *Procedia Computer Science* 112: 906–16.

Matzner, Tobias. 2014. Why privacy is not enough privacy in the context of “ubiquitous computing” and “big data”. *Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society* 12: 93–106.

Moscato, Donald R., and Shoshana Altschuller. 2012. International perceptions of online banking security concerns. *Communications of the IIMA* 12: 4.

Peek, Sebastiaan T. M., Eveline J. M. Wouters, Joost Van Hoof, Katrien G. Luijkx, Hennie R. Boeije, and Hubertus J. M. Vrijhoef. 2014. Factors influencing acceptance of technology for aging in place: A systematic review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 83: 235–48.

Saeidi, Sayedeh Parastoo, Saudah Sofian, Parvaneh Saeidi, Sayyede Parisa Saeidi, and Seyyed Alireza Saeidi. 2015. How does corporate social responsibility contribute to firm financial performance? The mediating role of competitive advantage, reputation, and customer satisfaction. *Journal of Business Research* 68: 341–50.

Saltz, Jeffrey S., and Neil Dewar. 2019. Data science ethical considerations: A systematic literature review and proposed project framework. *Ethics and Information Technology* 21: 197–208.

Stewart, Harrison, and Jan Jürjens. 2018a. Data security and consumer trust in FinTech innovation in Germany. *Information and Computer Security* 26: 109–28.

Stewart, Harrison, and Jan Jürjens. 2018b. Fintech and trust: A qualitative study of customers' attitudes towards fintech and their data protection concerns. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing* 23: 225–37.

Swammy, Sarah, Richard Thompson, Marvin Loh, Sarah Swammy, Richard Thompson, and Marvin Loh. 2018. A Vision for the Future: The Bermuda FinTech Story. *Crypto Uncovered* 173.

Vannucci, Virginia, and Eleonora Pantano. 2020. Do I Lose my Privacy for a Better Service? Investigating the Interplay between Big Data Analytics and Privacy Loss from Young Consumers' Perspective. In *Retail Futures*. Bingley: Emerald Publishing Limited, pp. 193–205.

Yang, Jinlei, Yuanjun Zhao, Chunjia Han, Yanghui Liu, and Mu Yang. 2022. Big data, big challenges: Risk management of financial market in the digital economy. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* 35: 128